



Euro NCAP
For Safer Cars

Version 1.2
July 2026

Crash Avoidance Lane Departure Collisions

Protocol

Implementation January 2026

Copyright © Euro NCAP 2026 - This work is the intellectual property of Euro NCAP. Permission is granted for this material to be shared for non-commercial, educational purposes, provided that this copyright statement appears on the reproduced materials and notice is given that the copying is by permission of Euro NCAP. To disseminate otherwise or to republish requires written permission from Euro NCAP.

PREFACE

During the test preparation, Vehicle Manufacturers are encouraged to liaise with the laboratory and to check that they are satisfied with the way cars are set up for testing. Where a manufacturer feels that a particular item should be altered, they should ask the laboratory staff to make any necessary changes. Manufacturers are forbidden from making changes to any parameter that will influence the test, such as dummy positioning, vehicle setting, laboratory environment etc.

It is the responsibility of the test laboratory to ensure that any requested changes satisfy the requirements of Euro NCAP. Where a disagreement exists between the laboratory and manufacturer, the Euro NCAP Secretariat should be informed immediately to pass final judgment. Where the laboratory staff suspect that a manufacturer has interfered with any of the set up, the manufacturer's representative should be warned that they are not allowed to do so themselves. They should also be informed that if another incident occurs, they will be asked to leave the test site.

Where there is a recurrence of the problem, the manufacturer's representative will be told to leave the test site and the Secretary General should be immediately informed. Any such incident may be reported by the Secretary General to the manufacturer and the person concerned may not be allowed to attend further Euro NCAP tests.

DISCLAIMER: Euro NCAP has taken all reasonable care to ensure that the information published in this protocol is accurate and reflects the technical decisions taken by the organisation. In the unlikely event that this protocol contains a typographical error or any other inaccuracy, Euro NCAP reserves the right to make corrections and determine the assessment and subsequent result of the affected requirement(s).

CONTENTS

DEFINITIONS	2
1 MEASURING EQUIPMENT	4
1.1 Reference system	4
1.2 Scenario Parameters	5
1.3 VUT	7
1.4 Targets	7
1.5 Measurements and variables	9
2 TEST CONDITIONS	10
2.1 Test track	10
2.2 VUT Preparation	11
3 TEST PROCEDURE	14
3.1 Single vehicle	14
3.2 Car & PTW	17
4 TEST EXECUTION	24
4.1 Performance Predictions	24
4.2 Verification tests	26
4.3 Test Conduct	27
5 ASSESSMENT	31
5.1 General requirements	31
5.2 Criteria	32
5.3 Scoring	37
APPENDIX A LANE DEPARTURE PATHS	40
APPENDIX B APPLICABILITY OF ROBUSTNESS LAYERS	42

DEFINITIONS

Throughout this protocol the following terms are used:

Peak Braking Coefficient (PBC) – the measure of tyre to road surface friction based on the maximum deceleration of a rolling tyre, measured using the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) E1136-10 (2010) standard reference test tyre, in accordance with ASTM Method E 1337-90 (reapproved 1996), at a speed of 64.4 km/h, without water delivery. Alternatively, the method as specified in UNECE R13-H.

Emergency Lane Keeping (ELK) – default ON heading correction that is applied automatically by the vehicle in response to the detection of the vehicle that is about to drift beyond a lane boundary (i.e. solid lane marking, road edge) and/or into oncoming or overtaking traffic in the adjacent lane.

Lane Keeping Assist (LKA) – heading correction that is applied automatically by the vehicle in response to the detection of the vehicle that is about to drift beyond a delineated edge line of the current travel lane.

Lane Departure Warning (LDW) – a warning that is provided automatically by the vehicle in response to the vehicle that is about to drift beyond a delineated edge line of the current travel lane.

Blind Spot Monitoring (BSM) – A sensing system that detects and warns the driver of an object (i.e. other road user) in an adjacent lane, which may be obscured from vision. This system must be default ON to be eligible for assessment.

Vehicle under test (VUT) – means the vehicle tested according to this protocol with an Emergency Lane Keeping, Lane Keep Assist and/or Lane Departure Warning system.

Global Vehicle Target (GVT) – means the vehicle target used in this protocol as defined in ISO 19206-3:2021

Euro NCAP Target (EMT) – means the Motorcyclist target used in this protocol as specified in ISO 19206-5. This can also be referred to as the Powered Two-Wheeler (PTW) target.

Real Motorcycle – Means a motorcyclist target that can be used in the Blind-Spot Monitoring Tests of this protocol, as an alternative to the EMT. The Real Motorcycle shall be a type approved two-wheeled motorcycle, with a maximum speed of at least 80km/h by design, without front fairing or windshield. It shall closely resemble the EMT (as specified in section 2.1 of [deliverable D2.1 of the MUSE project](#)), thus staying within the mean dimensions of the most registered middleweight naked motorcycles in Europe (i.e. wheelbase >1405mm. and <1445mm.).

Time To Collision (TTC) – means the remaining time before the VUT strikes the target, assuming the VUT and target would continue to travel with the speed it is travelling.

Standard range – refers to the most basic and controlled format a test scenario will be tested. Tests within the standard range are deemed the foundational level performance expectations for any given test scenario.

Extended range – refers to test points in which minor levels of complexity are introduced to the standard range tests. Changes for this range are limited to lateral or longitudinal velocity variations for the VUT and / or test target.

Robustness layer – refers to the introduction of test complexity and variation, designed to challenge vehicle systems and encourage reliable “real-world” performance.

Lane Edge – the road edge or inner side of the lane marking for the lane that the VUT is travelling.

Distance To Lane Edge (DTLE) – means the remaining lateral distance (perpendicular to the Lane Edge) between the Lane Edge and most outer edge of the tyre, before the VUT crosses Lane Edge, assuming the VUT would continue to travel with the same lateral velocity towards it.

Driver Intention Monitoring system – means a system that is effective at distinguishing intentional from unintentional lane crossing and suppressing undesired interventions and/or warnings.

Driver State Link (DSL) – refers to a vehicle system strategy that uses the detection of driver state (via Driver Status Monitoring system) to alter the Active Safety system response settings with respect to the levels of driver engagement. System sensitivity can be increased, or decreased, based on the detected driver state.

1 MEASURING EQUIPMENT

1.1 Reference system

1.1.1 Local Coordinate System

Use the convention specified in ISO 8855:2011, with the origin at the most forward point on the centreline of the VUT for dynamic data measurements as shown in Figure 1-1. This reference system should be used for both left- and right-hand drive vehicles. In Figure 1-1, nearside and far-side are shown for a left-hand drive vehicle. For a right-hand drive vehicle, nearside and far-side are swapped.




Figure 1-1 Local Coordinate System and notation

1.1.2 Global Coordinate System

The origin of the Global Coordinate System ($x=0$, $y=0$) shall be located, by default, at the theoretical intersection of the VUT test path and the inner side of the lane edge in which DTLE is measured from, as illustrated below:




Figure 1-2 Global Coordinate System origin

- All positional measurements relating to the VUT and test targets shall be referenced to the Global Coordinate System.

In all cases, the x-axis shall be aligned with the nominal direction of travel of the VUT, and the y-axis perpendicular to it, with positive y defined to the left of the VUT's direction of travel.

1.2 Scenario Parameters

1.2.1 Impact location

The impact location is defined as where the reference point of the test target coincides with the required %-age of the VUT width (subtracting the mirrors).

1.2.1.1 Car-to-car Oncoming




Figure 1-3 Impact Locations for Car-to-Car Oncoming

1.2.1.2 Car-to-Motorcyclist Oncoming




Figure 1-4 Impact Locations for Car-to-Motorcyclist Oncoming

1.2.1.3 Car-to-car Overtaking




Figure 1-5 Impact Locations for Car-to-Car Overtaking

1.2.1.4 Car-to-Motorcyclist Overtaking




Figure 1-6 Impact Locations for Car-to-Motorcyclist Overtaking

1.3 VUT

1.3.1 VUT Profile

A virtual profiled line is defined around both the front end and the rear end of the VUT, as well as around the right and left side of the VUT. The front and rear profiles are defined by straight line segments connecting seven points that are equally distributed over the vehicle width minus 50mm on each side. The side profiles are defined by straight line segments connecting seven points that are equally distributed between the outermost rear and front profiles, on each side. The theoretical x,y coordinates for each of these points are provided by the OEMs and verified by the test laboratory.




Figure 1-7 Virtual profiled line around the front end, rear end of the VUT

1.4 Targets

Only equipment listed in the current version of Technical Bulletin G 003 may be used for testing. The current version can be found on the Euro NCAP website.

1.4.1 Virtual Boxes

1.4.1.1 Euro NCAP Motorcyclist Target (EMT)

The dimensions of the EMT virtual box are shown in the figure below with reference points on the most forward point on the front wheel (applicable to longitudinal-front, turning and crossing scenarios) and most rearward point on the rear wheel (applicable to longitudinal-rear scenarios).




Figure 1-8 Virtual box dimensions around EMT

1.4.1.2 Global Vehicle Target (GVT)

The virtual box of the GVT is shown in the figure below, with reference points on: the most forward point on the front profile (1 in the centre and 1 in the intersection of the front profile and each of the side profiles), the most rearward point on the rear profile (in the centre), and at 75% along the length of each side of the GVT.




Figure 1-9 Virtual box dimensions around GVT

1.5 Measurements and variables

Record all dynamic data at a frequency of at least 100Hz. Synchronise using the DGPS time stamp of the test target data with that of the VUT.

1.5.1 Variables

Variable	Description
T	Time
T₀	Time of test start T_0 = time where manoeuvre starts with 2s straight path
T_{LDW}	Time where LDW activates
T_{steer}	Time where the VUT enters in curve segment (refer CA004)
T_{crossing}	Time where VUT crosses the line or road edge
T_{end}	Time of test end (see 4.3.2 <i>Test Scenarios</i>)
X_{VUT}, Y_{VUT}	Position of the VUT during the entire test
V_{long,VUT}, V_{lat,VUT}	Speed of the VUT during the entire test
$\dot{\psi}_{VUT}$	Yaw velocity of the VUT during the entire test
Ω_{VUT}	Steering wheel velocity of the VUT during the entire test
X_{target}, Y_{target}	Position of the target during the entire test
V_{target}	Speed of the target during the entire test
A_{target}	Acceleration of the target during the entire test
$\dot{\psi}_{target}$	Yaw velocity of the target during the entire test

1.5.2 Measurements

Equip the VUT with data measurement and acquisition equipment to record test data with an accuracy of at least:

- VUT and target longitudinal speed to 0.1km/h
- VUT and target lateral and longitudinal position to 0.03m
- VUT heading angle to 0.1°
- VUT and target yaw rate to 0.1°/s
- VUT longitudinal acceleration to 0.1m/s²
- VUT steering wheel velocity to 1.0°/s.

1.5.3 Data Filtering

Filter the measured data as follows:

- Position, and speed are not filtered and are used in their raw state.
- Acceleration, yaw rate, steering wheel velocity and force are filtered with a 12-pole phase less Butterworth filter with a cut off frequency of 10Hz.

The test laboratory shall provide data in its raw state in accordance to CA 004.

2 TEST CONDITIONS

2.1 Test track

Conduct tests on a dry (no visible moisture on the surface), uniform, solid-paved surface with a maximum slope of $\pm 1\%$ in the longitudinal direction and a maximum lateral slope of $\pm 3\%$.

The test track surface shall have a minimal peak braking coefficient (PBC) of 0.9, must be paved and may not contain any irregularities (e.g. large dips or cracks, manhole covers or reflective studs) within a lateral distance of 3.0m to either side of the centre of the test lane and with a longitudinal distance of 30m ahead of the VUT from the point after the test is complete.

2.1.1 Lane Markings and Road Edge

The tests described in this document require use of two different types of lane markings conforming to one of the lane markings as defined in UNECE Regulation 130 to mark a lane with a width of 3.5 to 3.7m when measured from the inside edge of the lane markings or road edge:

1. Dashed line with a width between 0.10 and 0.25m (0.10 and 0.15m for centre lines)
2. Solid line with a width between 0.10 and 0.25m
3. Road Edge consisting of grass and/or gravel

Where applicable, the inner edge of the lane marking shall be at 0.20 to 0.30m from the road edge (transition between paved test surface and road edge material).

The lane markings and/or road edge should be sufficiently long to ensure that there is at least 20m of marking remaining ahead of the vehicle after the test is complete.




Figure 2-1: Layout of the lane markings

2.1.2 Weather conditions

Conduct tests in dry conditions with ambient temperature above 5°C and below 40°C.

No precipitation shall be falling and horizontal visibility at ground level shall be greater than 1km. Wind speeds shall be below 10m/s to minimise VUT disturbance.

Natural ambient illumination must be homogenous in the test area and in excess of 2000 lux for daylight testing with no strong shadows cast across the test area other than those caused by the VUT. Ensure testing is not performed driving towards, or away from the sun when there is direct sunlight.

Measure and record the following parameters preferably at the commencement of every single test or at least every 30 minutes:

- a) Ambient temperature in °C;
- b) Track Temperature in °C;
- c) Wind speed in m/s;
- d) Wind direction in azimuth ° and/or compass point direction (monitoring);
- e) Ambient illumination in Lux.

2.2 VUT Preparation

2.2.1 System Settings

The vehicle will be set so that only “default on” systems are active during tests. Lane Centering function should be turned OFF.

Set any driver configurable elements of the system (e.g. the timing of the Lane Departure Warning or the Lane Keep Assist if present) to the middle setting or midpoint and then next poorer performing setting (refer to the examples shown in Figure 2-2).




Figure 2-2: System setting for testing

2.2.2 Wheel Alignment Measurement and Unladen Kerb Mass

The vehicle should be subject to a vehicle (in-line) geometry check to record the wheel alignment set by the OEM. This should be done with the vehicle in kerb weight.

If applicable, fill up the tank with fuel to at least 90% of the tank's capacity of fuel.

Check the oil level and top up to its maximum level if necessary. Similarly, top up the levels of all other fluids to their maximum levels if necessary.

Ensure that the vehicle has its spare wheel on board, if fitted, along with any tools supplied with the vehicle. Nothing else should be in the car.

Euro NCAP

Ensure that all tyres are inflated according to the manufacturer's instructions for the least loading condition.

Measure the front and rear axle masses and determine the total mass of the vehicle. The total mass is the 'unladen kerb mass' of the vehicle. Record this mass in the test details.

Calculate the required ballast mass, by subtracting the mass of the test driver and test equipment from the required 200 kg interior load.

2.2.3 Tyres

Perform the testing with new original fitment tyres of the make, model, size, speed and load rating as specified by the Vehicle Manufacturer. It is permitted to change the tyres which are supplied by the manufacturer or acquired at an official dealer representing the manufacturer if those tyres are identical make, model, size, speed and load rating to the original fitment. Use inflation pressures corresponding to least loading normal condition.

Run-in tyres according to the tyre conditioning procedure. After running-in maintain the run-in tyres in the same position on the vehicle for the duration of the testing.

2.2.4 Vehicle Preparation

Fit the on-board test equipment and instrumentation in the vehicle. Also fit any associated cables, cabling boxes and power sources and place weights with a mass of the ballast mass. Any items added should be securely attached to the car.

With the driver in the vehicle, weigh the front and rear axle loads of the vehicle and compare these loads with the "unladen kerb mass"

The total vehicle mass shall be within $\pm 1\%$ of the sum of the unladen kerb mass, plus 200kg. The front/rear axle load distribution needs to be within 5% of the front/rear axle load distribution of the original unladen kerb mass plus full fuel load. If the vehicle differs from the requirements given in this paragraph, items may be removed or added to the vehicle which has no influence on its performance. Any items added to increase the vehicle mass should be securely attached to the car.

Care needs to be taken when adding or removing weight in order to approximate the original vehicle inertial properties as close as possible. Record the final axle loads in the test details. Record the axle weights of the VUT in the 'as tested' condition.

Vehicle dimensional measurements shall be taken. For purposes of this test procedure, vehicle dimensions shall be represented by a two-dimensional polygon defined by the lateral and longitudinal dimensions relative to the centroid of the vehicle using the standard ISO 8855 coordinate system. The corners of the polygon are defined by the lateral and longitudinal locations where the plane of the outside edge of each tyre makes contact with the road. This plane is defined by running a perpendicular line from the outer most edge of the tyre to the ground at the wheelbase, as illustrated in Figure 2-3.





Figure 2-3: Vehicle dimensional measurements

The vehicle's wheelbase and the lateral and longitudinal locations shall be measured and recorded.

Requirements for Steering Robot friction levels should be checked prior to testing, as detailed in the Technical Bulletin CA 201.

3 TEST PROCEDURE

Each scenario in this assessment consists of a matrix combining vehicle longitudinal speeds, and ranges of impact locations or target longitudinal speeds. Each combination in a matrix is referred to as grid cell. The grid cells forming a matrix are grouped into two groups:

	Standard Range
	Extended Range

3.1 Single vehicle

Single Vehicle tests are to be conducted with the Driver Monitoring system having not determined a driver state (i.e. unknown driver state). The vehicle should have automatically adjusted system performance or sensitivity (for systems when there is a link between LSS and Driver Monitoring) to default settings.

If a vehicle is equipped with Driver Intention Monitoring (DIM) functionality, the tests conducted for Driveability – Overriding Torque may be performed with the DIM able to operate as intended by the Vehicle Manufacturer.

Single Vehicle	Standard	Extended	Robustness	TOTAL
Driver Acceptance	5	-	-	5
Driveability	2	-	-	2
Driver State Link	3	-	-	3
Lane Departure	4	0.5	0.5	5
ELK Road edge*	4	0.5	0.5	5

* Half points awarded with LDW in Extended Range cases

3.1.1 Driver Acceptance - Driveability

Driveability Requirement	Steering Robot Control	Longitudinal Speed Verification Test / Applicable Speed Range	Lateral Speed	Lane Boundary Type
Overriding Torque	Locked mode	70 km/h	0.2 to 0.6 m/s	Solid Line
Steering Response	Recorded during verification tests of Lane Departure – ELK Road Edge*			Road Edge
Correction Path				Road Edge

*Applicable for tests with the VUT speed between 70-100km/h longitudinal, and 0.2-0.6m/s lateral

3.1.1.1 Overriding Torque

Perform the Overriding Torque measurements utilising the procedure outlined in 4.3.2.1 *Calibration Runs*.

Euro NCAP

Each Overriding Torque measurement run will be conducted with all vehicle systems turned ON and with the vehicle travelling at 70km/h.

The test laboratory shall record the VUT steering wheel torque for lane departures with lateral speeds between 0.2m/s and 0.6m/s (incremented by 0.1m/s), on either side of the vehicle and against solid line lane boundaries to determine whether the overriding torque requirements are met.

3.1.1.2 Steering Response

The test laboratory shall record the VUT Steering Wheel Velocity and VUT Steering Angle (or any other alternative metrics if requested by the Vehicle Manufacturer) until test end for all selected verification tests within the standard and extended range of *3.1.3 Lane Departure – ELK Road Edge*.

Measurements of angle change and steering wheel velocity must be free from the influence of the steering robot activity; the test laboratories must ensure the robot is in free mode prior to any LSS response.

3.1.1.3 Correction Path

The test laboratory shall record the VUT's lateral position (Y_{pos}) until T_{end} (test end) for all selected verification tests within the standard and extended range of *3.1.3 Lane Departure – ELK Road Edge*.

3.1.2 Driver Acceptance – Driver State Link

If a vehicle is equipped with a Driver Status Monitoring system that can adjust the LSS sensitivity with respect to the level of attentiveness of the driver; the manufacturer is encouraged to inform Euro NCAP on the specific details of this driver state link strategy.

In accordance with the information provided, and at the direction of the Euro NCAP Secretariat, the test laboratory may verify the lane support system performance for both inattentive and attentive states.

Verification testing will be selected in line with the requirements outlined in Technical Bulletin *SD 202 – Driver Monitoring Test Procedure*.

3.1.3 Lane Departure – ELK Road Edge

The standard and extended test Ranges for Lane Departure – ELK Road Edge are shown below:

ELK RE	0.2 m/s	0.3 m/s	0.4 m/s	0.5 m/s	0.6 m/s	0.7 m/s
50 km/h						
60 km/h						
70 km/h						
80 km/h						
90 km/h						
100 km/h						

At the test labs discretion, and in agreement with the Vehicle Manufacturer, the tests in this scenario can be conducted either robotically, or manually by controlled inputs from the driver, or intersecting a curved section.

Where the vehicle and test are to be controlled via driver inputs, the resulting V_{lat} and V_{long} shall be maintained within the grid points where performance is expected.

The following test track and lane marking format is applied to the standard and extended test ranges. Tests for Lane Departure – ELK Road Edge will be performed to the passenger side of the VUT only.




Figure 3-2 Lane Departure – ELK Road Edge test format

For verification tests within the extended range of Lane Departure – ELK Road Edge, it is possible that both ELK and LDW could be assessed simultaneously (per the conditions detailed in 5.2.2.2 *Lane Departure Warning*). Therefore, the test lab shall record the timing of the Lane Departure Warning (e.g. notable heading correction, steering wheel vibration, etc.) during tests conducted for the extended range of Lane Departure – ELK Road Edge.

3.2 Car & PTW

CAR & PTW	Standard	Extended	Robustness	TOTAL
ELK Car-to-car	4	0.5	0.5	5
Oncoming	2	0.25	0.25	2.5
Overtaking Intentional*	1	0.125*	0.125	1.25
Overtaking Unintentional*	1	0.125*	0.125	1.25
ELK Car-to-motorcyclist	4	0.5	0.5	5
Oncoming	2	0.25	0.25	2.5
Overtaking Intentional*	1	0.125*	0.125	1.25
Overtaking Unintentional*	1	0.125*	0.125	1.25

* Half points awarded with BSM in Extended Range cases

3.2.1 ELK Car-to-Car Oncoming

The standard and extended test ranges for ELK Car-to-Car Oncoming are shown below:

ELK On	Target	0.3 m/s	0.4 m/s	0.5 m/s	0.6 m/s
50 km/h	50 km/h				
60 km/h	60 km/h				
70 km/h	70 km/h				
80 km/h	80 km/h				
90 km/h	90 km/h				
100 km/h	100 km/h				

For the oncoming scenario, the GVT will follow a straight-line path in the lane adjacent to the VUT's initial position, and in the opposite direction to the VUT. The straight-line path of the target will be 1.5m from the inner side of the centre dashed lane marking of the VUT lane.

The following test track and lane marking format is applied to the standard and extended test ranges.




Figure 3-3 ELK Car-to-Car Oncoming test format

The paths of the VUT and target vehicle will be synchronised so that the reference point of the GVT meet with the VUT at a 90% impact location (assuming no system reaction).




Figure 3-4 Standard Range Impact Location for ELK Car-to-Car Oncoming

3.2.2 ELK Car-to-Car Overtaking

The Standard Range ELK Car-To-Car Overtaking tests will be performed with 0.1m/s incremental steps within the lateral velocity range of 0.3 to 0.6m/s for unintentional lane changes, and 0.5 to 0.7m/s for intentional lane changes.

The standard and extended test ranges for ELK Car-to-Car Overtaking are shown below:

ELK OvU	Target	0.2 m/s	0.3 m/s	0.4 m/s	0.5 m/s	0.6 m/s	0.7 m/s
50 km/h	60 km/h						
60 km/h	70 km/h						
70 km/h	80 km/h						
80 km/h	90 km/h						
90 km/h	100 km/h						
100 km/h	110 km/h						
110 km/h	120 km/h						
120 km/h	130 km/h						
130 km/h	140 km/h						

ELK Ovl	Target	0.4 m/s	0.5 m/s	0.6 m/s	0.7 m/s	0.8 m/s
50 km/h	60 km/h					
60 km/h	70 km/h					
70 km/h	80 km/h					
80 km/h	90 km/h					
90 km/h	100 km/h					

For the overtaking scenario a GVT will follow a straight-line path in the lane adjacent to the VUT’s initial position at the driver side, in the same direction as the VUT. The straight-line path of the target will be 1.5m from the inner side of the centre dashed lane marking of the VUT lane.

The following test track and lane marking format is applied to the standard and extended test ranges.




Figure 3-5 ELK Car-to-Car Overtaking test format

The paths of the VUT and target vehicle will be synchronised so that the longitudinal position of the leading edge of the target vehicle impacts 25% of the length of the VUT (assuming no system reaction).




Figure 3-6 Standard Range Impact Location for ELK Car-to-Car Overtaking

Lane departures will be performed toward the driver side only, and intentional lane change manoeuvres will be conducted with the turn indicator ON, applied $1.0 \pm 0.5\text{sec}$ before T_{steer} .

3.2.3 ELK Car-to-Motorcyclist Oncoming

The standard and extended test ranges for ELK Car-to-Motorcyclist Oncoming are shown below:

ELK On	Target	0.3 m/s	0.4 m/s	0.5 m/s	0.6 m/s
50 km/h	50 km/h				
60 km/h	60 km/h				
70 km/h	70 km/h				
80 km/h	80 km/h				
90 km/h	90 km/h				
100 km/h	100 km/h				

For the oncoming scenario the VUT and EMT will follow straight-line paths at the selected verification test speeds. The EMT will travel in the opposite direction and in the adjacent lane to the VUT. The straight-line path of the EMT target will be 1m from the inner side of the centre dashed lane marking of the VUT lane.

The following test track and lane marking format is applied to the standard and extended test ranges.




Figure 3-7 ELK Car-to-Motorcyclist Oncoming test format

The paths of the VUT and EMT will be synchronised so that the front wheel of the EMT impacts the VUT at a 110% impact location (assuming no system reaction).




Figure 3-8 Standard Range impact location for ELK Car-to-Motorcyclist Oncoming

3.2.4 ELK Car-to-Motorcyclist Overtaking

The Standard Range ELK Car-To-Motorcyclist Overtaking tests will be performed with 0.1m/s incremental steps within the lateral velocity range of 0.3 to 0.6m/s for unintentional lane changes, and 0.5 to 0.7m/s for intentional lane changes.

The standard and extended test ranges for ELK Car-to-Motorcyclist Overtaking are shown below:

ELK OvU	Target	0.2 m/s	0.3 m/s	0.4 m/s	0.5 m/s	0.6 m/s	0.7 m/s
50 km/h	60 km/h						
60 km/h	70 km/h						
70 km/h	80 km/h						
80 km/h	90 km/h						
90 km/h	100 km/h						
100 km/h	110 km/h						
110 km/h	120 km/h						
120 km/h	130 km/h						
130 km/h	140 km/h						

ELK Ovl	Target	0.4 m/s	0.5 m/s	0.6 m/s	0.7 m/s	0.8 m/s
50 km/h	60 km/h					
60 km/h	70 km/h					
70 km/h	80 km/h					
80 km/h	90 km/h					
90 km/h	100 km/h					

For the overtaking scenario, an EMT will follow a straight-line path in the lane adjacent to the VUT’s initial position at the driver side in the same direction as the VUT. The straight-line path of the target will be 1m from the inner side of the centre dashed lane marking of the VUT line.

The following test track and lane marking format is applied to the standard and extended test ranges.




Figure 3-9 ELK Car-to-Motorcyclist Overtaking test format

The paths of the VUT and EMT will be synchronised so that the front wheel of the EMT impacts the 25% of the length of the VUT (assuming no system reaction).




Figure 3-10 Standard Range impact location for ELK Car-to-Motorcyclist Overtaking

3.2.4.1 Blind Spot Monitoring

Where the test vehicle is unable to meet the assessment criteria for the ELK Overtaking (Car and / or Motorcyclist) extended range scenarios, conduct assessment of the blind spot monitoring system, consisting of on-road functionality verification that motorcyclists and cars in both adjacent lanes are detected at the applicable longitudinal speeds of the Extended Range.

4 TEST EXECUTION

4.1 Performance Predictions

The Vehicle Manufacturer shall provide the Euro NCAP with PASS/FAIL data detailing the predicted performance of the LSS for all test scenarios, and in accordance with the assessment criteria outlined in chapter 5.2. The predicted performance will be used as a reference to identify discrepancies between the predicted results and the test results.

The predicted performance will be used as a reference to verify performance using randomly selected verification tests. Performance predictions may be provided in either of the following formats:

Performance predictions		Virtual Testing	Self-claimed	Field Data
Standard Range		✓	✓	
Extended Range		✓	✓	
Robustness Layers	Decision & Control	✓	✓	
	Perception			✓

The information shall be supplied by the manufacturer before any testing begins, preferably with delivery of the test vehicle(s).

In case a Vehicle Manufacturer does not supply any prediction data, the test laboratory shall conduct a testing approach defined by the Euro NCAP Secretariat.

4.1.1 Virtual Testing

Virtual Testing refers to as simulation-based performance predictions, following the provisions outlined in Euro NCAP VTA Protocol.

4.1.2 Self-claim

Self-claim refers to as PASS/FAIL data provided by the Vehicle Manufacturer where no further evidence is required.

4.1.3 Corner Cases

The cases listed below are excluded from the regular verification testing conducted by the test laboratory as part of the test programme. This is due to dynamic limitations of the target platforms and/or the risk of damage to the VUT, target platforms, personnel, or test equipment. These are considered Corner Cases:

- ELK Car-to-Motorcyclist Oncoming & Overtaking tests with EMT speed >80 km/h
- Lane Departure Road Edge tests with VUT speed >80 km/h

For Corner Cases where performance is claimed, the Vehicle Manufacturer shall provide the Euro NCAP Secretariat with supporting evidence in the form of either Virtual Testing or in-house track test data, submitted in ISO MME format according to CA 004.

The number of cases per scenario for which evidence is required is defined in chapter 4.2. These cases will be randomly selected by the Euro NCAP Secretariat from the Corner Cases for which performance is claimed.

Where Virtual Testing is used as the supporting evidence method for Corner Cases within a scenario, Virtual Testing shall be used for all remaining non-Corner Cases within that scenario. It is not permitted to provide Virtual Testing evidence for only part of the claimed Corner Cases within a scenario and to use another method for the remaining cases.

Euro NCAP reserves the right to verify any Corner Case claimed by the Vehicle Manufacturer by means of physical testing.

4.1.4 Field Data

Where Field Data is required, the Vehicle Manufacturer shall demonstrate function availability and/or specific performance under the presence of perception-related robustness layers, by means of insights gathered in real-world driving conditions. This is to be reported according to the provisions set forth in the Technical Bulletin CA 003.

4.2 Verification tests

The verification tests are randomly selected grid cells, distributed in line with the Vehicle Manufacturer prediction (excluding grid cells where there is no performance), and covering Standard Range, Extended Range and Robustness Layers.

For each scenario, the following random selection of Verification Tests is made by Euro NCAP and executed by the test laboratory:

4.2.1 Standard and Extended Range

For Standard Range, a random selection of 3 verification tests is completed per scenario. For Extended Range, a random selection of 2 verification tests is completed per scenario.

Single Vehicle	Scenario Type	Scenario	Verification tests	
			Standard Range	Extended Range
Lane Departure	ELK Road Edge	ELK RE	3	2

Car & PTW	Scenario Type	Scenario	Verification tests	
			Standard Range	Extended Range
ELK Car-to-Car	Oncoming	ELK On	3	2
	Overtaking	ELK OvU	3	2
		ELK Ovl	3	2
ELK Car-to-Motorcyclist	Oncoming	ELK On	3	2
	Overtaking	ELK OvU	3	2
		ELK Ovl	3	2

4.2.2 Robustness Layers

For the Robustness Layers (Decision & Control): 1 layer is randomly selected per scenario (see applicability in APPENDIX B).

For the Standard Range only, the verification tests for ELK Car-to-Car and ELK Car-to-Motorcycle will be performed under the presence of a randomly selected Robustness Layer where performance was predicted.

Where any verification test with the Robustness Layer applied results in a fail, the selected robustness layer will be failed for that scenario. The test will then be repeated without the layer present.

Further verification tests in the Standard Range of that scenario will be conducted without the layer present.

Where a specific Robustness Layer fails in two scenarios of the same collision partner (i.e., car, PTW), that layer will be failed for all scenarios of the same collision partner.

4.3 Test Conduct

4.3.1 VUT Pre-test Conditioning

A new car is used as delivered to the test laboratory; however, a car may have been used for other Euro NCAP active safety tests.

If requested by the Vehicle Manufacturer and where not already performed for other tests, drive a maximum of 100km on a mixture of urban and rural roads with other traffic and roadside furniture to 'calibrate' the sensor system. Avoid harsh acceleration and braking.

4.3.1.1 Tyres

Condition the vehicle's tyres in the following manner to remove the mould sheen, if this has not been done before for another test or in case the lab has not performed a 100km of driving:

- Drive around a circle of 30m in diameter at a speed sufficient to generate a lateral acceleration of approximately 0.5 to 0.6g for three clockwise laps followed by three anticlockwise laps.
- Immediately following the circular driving, drive four passes at 56km/h, performing ten cycles of a sinusoidal steering input in each pass at a frequency of 1Hz and amplitude sufficient to generate a peak lateral acceleration of approximately 0.5 to 0.6g.
- Make the steering wheel amplitude of the final cycle of the final pass double that of the previous inputs.

In case of instability in the sinusoidal driving, reduce the amplitude of the steering input to an appropriately safe level and continue the four passes.

4.3.1.2 System Check

Before any testing begins, perform a maximum of ten runs, to ensure proper functioning of the system.

4.3.2 Test Scenarios

Control the VUT with driver inputs or using alternative speed control systems, such as cruise control or speed limiter, that can modulate the vehicle controls as necessary to perform the tests.

Accelerate the VUT to the targeted speed.

The test shall start at T_0 and is valid when all boundary and parameter conditions are met:

Parameter	Condition	VUT	GVT	EMT
Speed		± 1.0 km/h		
Relative longitudinal speed	Overtaking (up to T_{ELK})	± 1.0 km/h		
Lateral deviation	Road Edge (up to T_{ELK})	0 ± 0.05 m	-	-
	Oncoming (up to T_{ELK})	0 ± 0.05 m	0 ± 0.30 m	$0 \pm [0.15]$ m
	Overtaking (up to T_{ELK})	0 ± 0.05 m	0 ± 0.20 m	-
Steady state lane departure lateral velocity	At T_{LKA}	± 0.05 m/s	-	-
Yaw velocity	Up to T_{STEER} for VUT	0 ± 1.0 °/s	-	-
Steering wheel velocity		0 ± 15.0 °/s	-	-

Steer the vehicle as appropriate to achieve the lateral velocity in a smooth controlled manner and with minimal overshoot.

The end of a test is considered as:

- LDW tests: when the warning commences.
- ELK Road Edge tests: 2 seconds after one of the following occurs:
 - o The ELK system fails to maintain the VUT within the required DTLE.
 - o The ELK system intervenes to maintain the VUT within the DTLE, such that a maximum lateral position is achieved that subsequently diminishes causing the VUT to turn back towards the lane.
- ELK oncoming or overtaking tests: when one of the following occurs:
 - o The ELK system intervenes to prevent a collision between the VUT and target vehicle
 - o The ELK system has failed to intervene (sufficiently) to prevent a collision between the VUT and target vehicle. This can be assumed when one of the following occurs:
 - No intervention is observed at a $TTC = 0.8$ s or a TTC submitted by the OEM

It is at the labs discretion to select and use one of the options above to ensure a safe testing environment. If the test ends because the vehicle has failed to intervene (sufficiently) or if the EMT has left it's designated path by more than 0.2m, it is recommended that the VUT and/or EMT are steered away from the impact, either manually or by reactivating the steering control of the driving robot/EMT.

4.3.2.1 Calibration runs

The Vehicle Manufacturer shall provide information describing the location when the closed loop path and/or speed control shall be ended so as not to interfere with the system intervention for each test. The test laboratory shall then verify the release point for the highest lateral velocity.

Otherwise, when the Vehicle Manufacturer does not provide information, two calibration runs shall be performed for each lateral velocity to determine when the system activates. Compare steering wheel torque, vehicle speed or yaw rate of both runs and determine where there is a notable difference that identifies the location of intervention.

- Run 1: Complete the required test path with the system turned OFF and measure the control parameter
- Run 2: Complete the required test path with the system turned ON and measure the control parameter

Complete the tests while ending the closed loop control before system activation (as defined above). In the case of calibration runs, the release of steering control should occur on the test path and no less than 5m longitudinally before the location of intervention.

If the intervention point of the function occurs before the target V_{latVUT} is reached, the test laboratory will conduct a verification check of the $V_{latVUT}=0.6\text{m/s}$ test case (both for dashed and solid line) using a straight-line vehicle path intersecting with a curved lane marking. The intersecting angle of the VUT test path and the curved lane marking shall be equal to the yaw angle (Ψ_{VUT}) achieved by the 0.6m/s test conducted in the standard test format (ie. straight lane marking tests).

A maximum of 3 runs shall be conducted (both for solid and dashed line), where the system intervention and resulting DTLE is monitored.

When the closed loop path ends, the driver's hands, or the control, will remain passive on the steering wheel without applying deliberate force but reflecting the behaviour of an inattentive driver holding the steering wheel.

4.3.2.2 Test parameters

The following figure details the parameters used to create the test paths:




Figure 4-1: Vehicle paths definition

The lateral offset “d” is defined from the road edge or inner side of the lane marking:

$$d = d1 + d2 + \text{Half of the VUT width (m)}$$

where:

d1: Lateral distance travelled during curve established yaw angle (m)

d2: Lateral distance travelled during V_{lat} steady state (m)

For the full definition of each scenario’s test paths, see APPENDIX A.

5 ASSESSMENT

Each scenario in this assessment (except for Driver Acceptance) consists of a matrix combining vehicle & target longitudinal speeds, and ranges of vehicle lateral speeds. Each combination in a matrix is referred to as grid cell. The grid cells forming a test scenario matrix are grouped into two groups: 1) Standard range and 2) Extended range.

5.1 General requirements

To be eligible for scoring points in the Lane Departure Collisions protocol, the ELK function of the LSS must be default ON at the start of every journey and deactivation of the system should not be possible with a momentary single push on a button.

Additionally, the BSM function is required to be default ON to be eligible for scoring half points in tests where the BSM feature is, or forms part of, the necessary function for the vehicle to perform in the assessment.

For any system, the driver must be able to override any intervention by the lane support system.

5.1.1 Driver Acceptance

A vehicle must meet the following criteria to be eligible for the assessment of Driver Acceptance:

- The vehicle passes the overriding torque criteria and does not exceed 3.0Nm + [0.5Nm] for any test for the Overriding Torque assessment.
- The vehicle correction is controlled by the steering system (and not by differential braking).
- At minimum, the vehicle must recognise and react to road edges. A pass result in ELK Road Edge tests is not required.
 - o Where this condition is met and when the ELK Road Edge verification tests are unable to be used for the driveability assessment, the Vehicle Manufacturer can propose an alternative test method and request the Euro NCAP Secretariat assess the Driveability metrics (Steering Response and Correction Path) via their proposal.
- The assessment of Driver Acceptance will remain within the test speeds defined; however, it is expected that vehicle responses and the intent to ensure Driver Acceptance will be consistent for the whole operational speed and capabilities of the vehicle's lane support system.
 - o Euro NCAP will monitor the performance of these metrics throughout all testing performed within the Standard Range longitudinal speeds; and may also conduct spot checking to verify performance at speeds beyond the standard and extended ranges defined for each scenario.

To be eligible for scoring points in Driver State Link, the system shall meet the requirements of Driveability.

5.2 Criteria

5.2.1 Single vehicle

5.2.1.1 Driver Acceptance

A maximum of 5 points are available for Driver Acceptance: 2 points for Driveability and 3 points for Driver State Link.

5.2.1.1.1 Driveability

To be awarded points for Driveability, the LSS shall meet the Overriding Torque criteria and either Steering Response or Correction path, as outlined below:

1. **Overriding Torque** – the torque applied by the steering robot to maintain the steering wheel angle must not exceed $3.0\text{Nm} + [0.5\text{Nm}]$ while the lane support system is active. Higher overriding torque values are permitted for Transient States, as part of the Driver State Link described in 5.2.1.1.2
2. **Steering Response** – for tests $\geq 70\text{km/h}$; if the lane support system requires a steering wheel angle change ≥ 5 degrees to redirect the vehicle path, the vehicle response must not exceed the steering wheel velocity limits defined in the table below:

Lateral Speed	0.2m/s	0.3m/s	0.4m/s	0.5m/s	0.6m/s
Steering wheel velocity	$\pm 20^\circ/\text{sec}$	$\pm 25^\circ/\text{sec}$	$\pm 30^\circ/\text{sec}$	$\pm 35^\circ/\text{sec}$	$\pm 40^\circ/\text{sec}$

Steering wheel angle change will be measured from the steering angle at the beginning of a lane support system response, to the maximum steering angle experienced during the intervention.

The Steering wheel velocity limit is applied for the duration of the vehicle's response.

3. **Correction Path** – For tests $\geq 70\text{km/h}$, the VUT's Y_{pos} (local coordinate system) must be within the standard lateral offset "d" Y_{pos} , measured two seconds after the minimum DTLE.




Figure 5-1: Correction Path lateral offset "d" Y_{pos} definition

If a vehicle does not meet the criteria outlined in 5.2.1.2 Driveability, the Vehicle Manufacturer can appeal to the Secretariat with justification that the lane support system design avoids undesirable characteristics and will be acceptable to drivers.

Based on this justification, the driveability assessment may be overruled by the Euro NCAP Secretariat.

5.2.1.1.2 Driver State Link

Vehicle Manufacturers are rewarded with 3 points when incorporating the Driver State Link into the Lane Support System strategy. The Driver State Link is intended to balance lane departure prevention performance and driver acceptance by ensuring system availability in relevant situations. This is realized through Lane Support Sensitivity changes from Nominal and Reduced conditions, and vice-versa, as stated below.


To score the 3 points available for Driver State Link, the following conditions shall be met:

- Lane Support Sensitivity shall switch to the Nominal condition when the driver state is unknown or classified as Transient or Non-Transient. Lane Support Sensitivity shall also switch to the Nominal condition for lane departures involving a road edge or oncoming/overtaking traffic. Nominal is defined as the condition required to ensure Lane Departure Prevention, as per the Euro NCAP 2023 LSS requirements*.
- For lane departures not involving a road edge or oncoming/overtaking traffic, Lane Support Sensitivity shall switch to the Reduced condition when the driver is classified as attentive (eyes on road) and unimpaired, and shall be effective only when accompanied by driver inputs inferring an intentional lane departure manoeuvre. The Reduced condition shall consist of either suppression of the LKA/ELK intervention or a significant reduction in system overriding torque
- When operating under the Reduced condition, Lane Support Sensitivity shall return to the Nominal condition:
 - o immediately after the driver state is classified as Transient or Non-Transient;
 - o <1 second after the driver state can no longer be determined (i.e., “unknown” driver state), due to either Driver Monitoring detection performance is degraded, non-functional or the Driver Monitoring system is turned off;
 - o soon enough to ensure Lane Departure Prevention for any continuous off-road glance ≤ 4 seconds.
- If Driver Monitoring detection performance is degraded, for example when eye gaze determination is not possible and driver attentiveness is estimated only via head pose, the Reduced condition may remain enabled by a standalone indirect DIM, provided that the driver is informed of the degraded Driver Monitoring detection within 10 seconds.
- The Driver Monitoring system, as described in the Euro NCAP Driver Engagement protocol, shall achieve a minimum Intervention score in Lane Support Sensitivity across different driver states:
 - o Transient states: >50% of the total Intervention score in Lane Support Sensitivity.
 - o Non-Transient states: Intervention score in Lane Support Sensitivity for Drowsiness and Sleep**, as defined in the Euro NCAP Driver Engagement Protocol.

** For systems separating ELK and ELK+LKA mode, in the ELK mode the LKA dashed line intervention does not need to be re-activated for degraded or unknown Driver Monitoring.*

****Sleep only applicable for systems with Lane Support Sensitivity as intervention type (i.e., not applicable when the intervention type for Sleep is Assisted Mode).**

The diagram below illustrates the aforementioned Driver State Link preconditions. The Vehicle Manufacturer is encouraged to share their Driver State Link strategy to Euro NCAP at an early stage for consideration.



¹Lane Departure Prevention = 2023 LSS performance criteria for all applicable speeds within the claimed performance range. For systems separating ELK and ELK+LKA mode, in the ELK mode the LKA dashed line intervention does not need to be re-activated for degraded or unknown Driver Monitoring

5.2.2 Lane Departure

5.2.2.1 Road edge

The limit value for DTLE in Lane Departure – ELK Road Edge tests is set to -0.1m, meaning that the vehicle is only allowed to have a part of the front wheel outside of the road edge.

5.2.2.2 Lane Departure Warning

Where the test vehicle is unable to meet the assessment and performance criteria for the extended range of Lane Departure – Road Edge tests, the vehicle becomes eligible for the assessment of the Lane Departure Warning.

Any LDW system that issues a haptic warning clearly relating to the lateral control of the vehicle noticeable by the driver (e.g. notable heading correction, steering wheel vibration, etc.) before a DTLE of -0.1m is awarded when active at lateral velocities up to at least 0.7m/s.

5.2.3 Car & PTW

5.2.3.1 ELK Oncoming & Overtaking

For all ELK Car-to-Car & Car-to-Motorcyclist Oncoming and Overtaking tests with an oncoming or overtaking vehicle, the assessment criteria used is “no impact”, meaning that the VUT is not allowed to contact* the overtaking or oncoming vehicle target at any time during the test.

** For the motorcyclist test scenarios, the VUT profile must always exceed the PTW virtual box by >0.3m for a test to be considered “no impact”.*




Figure 5-2: Adjusted PTW virtual box for Car-to-Motorcyclist Oncoming and Overtaking tests

5.2.3.2 Blind Spot Monitoring

Where the test vehicle is unable to meet the assessment and performance criteria for the extended range of ELK Overtaking (Car and Motorcyclist) tests, the test vehicle becomes eligible for the assessment of the blind spot monitoring system.

For the blind spot monitoring tests, the assessment criteria used is the visual blind spot information that shall be supplied in respect to vehicles in the blind spot of the VUT. This is to be confirmed during the On-Road Evaluation.

5.2.4 Robustness

To evaluate the overall robustness of the system, the Standard Range of each scenario (where there is performance) is assessed against individual parameter or condition variations referred to as layers, clustered in 3 types: VUT, Target and Environment.

Robustness layers		Description	Verification Test	Input source
Type	Layer			
VUT	Impact location	System performance under different projected impact location	Yes	Virtual Testing or Self-Claim
Target (Collision Partner)	Initial position offset	System performance under a small variance in the nominal target initial position	Yes	
	Type	System ability to detect different collision partner types with similar kinematics	No	Field Data**
	Appearance	System ability to detect same collision partner type but with different appearance (e.g., colour, shape)	No	
Target (Lane Boundary)	Appearance	System performance against diverse lane boundaries, e.g., road edge, lane lines of different colour/width/type/radius	No*	
Environment	Adverse weather conditions	Functionality available under the presence of rain, fog, dirt, dust, ice, moisture	No	
	Illumination (Night time)	System ability to operate in darkness (1 lux)	No*	
	Illumination (Sun glare)	Functionality available under the presence of glare caused by low sun (all scenarios)	No	

* May be tested under request of Euro NCAP Secretariat.

** Where FOT data is required, the Vehicle Manufacturer shall demonstrate that the system perception is not significantly degraded, assessed in real driving conditions. This is to be reported according to the provisions set forth in Technical Bulletin CA 003.

5.2.4.1 Verification Tests

Where verification tests are done, the following conditions apply:

Robustness		Scenarios	Test condition*	Assessment Criteria
Type	Layer			
VUT	Impact location**	Car & PTW	Range change (versus standard): - Oncoming: $\pm 10\%$ - Overtaking: $+50\%$	Same
Target	Initial position Offset**		Range change (versus standard): - Path offset: $\pm 0.25\text{m}$	Same
	Appearance	Single vehicle	Lane marking type, colour, width (verification during on-road test)	Same
Environment	Illumination (Night time)		Performance in darkness (1 lux) Applicable for Road Edge only – with high beams	Same

* Versus the condition used in the Standard Range.

** Parameter combinations shall ensure a $TTC \leq 3\text{s}$ (see Chapter 2 in Technical Bulletin CA 002)

5.3 Scoring

5.3.1 Standard Ranges

For score calculation in the Standard Range of each scenario, first each grid cell is given a sub-score according to the Vehicle Manufacturer prediction:

- Car & PTW
 - PASS (Avoidance) = 1 point
 - FAIL (Impact) = 0 points
- Single Vehicle
 - V_{lat} from 0.2 to 0.6m/s
 - ELK (PASS) = 1 point
 - ELK (FAIL) = 0 points

Secondly, the resulting score is calculated by normalizing all the Standard Range sub-scores to the total score of the Standard Range in that scenario (rounded to hundredth):

$$\text{Score Standard Range} = \frac{\sum \text{Subscores in Standard Range} \times \text{Total Standard Range Score}}{\text{Number of Grid Cells in Standard Range}}$$

5.3.2 Extended Ranges

To be eligible for scoring points in the extended ranges across scenarios, $\geq 25\%$ of the total available score is required to have been awarded for the standard test range.

For score calculation in the Extended Range of each scenario, first each grid cell is given a sub-score according to the Vehicle Manufacturer prediction:

Car & PTW

- PASS (Avoidance) = 1 point
- BSM* = 0.5 points
- FAIL (Impact) = 0 points
- Single Vehicle
 - V_{lat} from 0.2 to 0.7m/s
 - ELK (PASS) = 1 point
 - LDW (PASS) = 0.5 points
 - LDW and ELK (FAIL) = 0 points

* For overtaking scenarios

Secondly, the resulting score is calculated by normalizing all the Extended Range sub-scores to the total score of the Extended Range in that scenario(rounded to hundredth):

$$Score\ Extended\ Range = \frac{\sum Subscores\ in\ Extended\ Range \times Total\ Extended\ Range\ Score}{Number\ of\ Grid\ Cells\ in\ Extended\ Range}$$

The final score for each Extended Range in a given scenario is calculated as follows:

Extended Range Scoring	
% of total score	Final score
$50 \leq Score\ Extended\ Range < 75$	50%
$75 \leq Score\ Extended\ Range < 100$	75%
$Score\ Extended\ Range = 100$	100%

5.3.3 Robustness

To be eligible for scoring points in Robustness Layers in a specific scenario, there shall be $\geq 50\%$ of the total available score in the Standard Range of that scenario.

The Robustness Layer score in each scenario where the manufacturer predicted performance according to 5.2.4 is calculated as follows:

$$Score\ Robustness\ Layers = \frac{Total\ Robustness\ Score}{Number\ of\ applicable\ Robustness\ Layers} \times Number\ of\ claimed\ robustness\ layers$$

An overview of the applicable Robustness Layers in each scenario can be found in APPENDIX B

5.3.4 Verification tests

The outcome of the verification tests will dictate the final score of a given scenario, and will depend on how the predicted performance is reported by the Vehicle Manufacturer, as illustrated in the table below:

# of tests→ Passed* tests↓	Score (%) from verification tests outcome													
	Standard Range										Extended Range			
	Virtual Testing					Self-claim					Virtual Testing		Self Claim	
	5	4	3	2	1	5	4	3	2	1	2	1	2	1
5	100	-	-	-	-	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	80	100	-	-	-	80	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	60	75	100	-	-	0	75	100	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	40	50	67	100	-	0	0	67	100	-	100	-	100	-
1	20	25	33	50	100	0	0	0	0	100	50	100	0	100
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

* Passed = in line or beyond the predicted performance

If a verification test applied in a scenario where performance was predicted by Virtual Testing does not meet the acceptance criteria set forth in the VTA protocol, all scenarios in that cluster will be treated as Self claim for scoring.

APPENDIX A LANE DEPARTURE PATHS

A.1 Paths for Road Edge, ELK Oncoming and ELK Overtaking

Intended for use in all tests:

		D1 (depending on lateral and longitudinal speed)								
Vehicle Speed	Unintentional <u>Lateral acceleration</u> R=600 for V<70 km/h R=1200 for 70≤V<100 R=2400 for 100≤V≤130 R=4800 for V>130	0.2m/s	0.3m/s	0.4m/s	0.5m/s	0.6m/s	0.7m/s	0.8m/s	0.9m/s	1.0m/s
50	0.322	0.062	0.140	0.249	0.389	0.560	0.763	0.996	1.261	1.557
60	0.463	0.043	0.097	0.173	0.270	0.389	0.529	0.692	0.875	1.081
70	0.315	0.063	0.143	0.254	0.397	0.571	0.778	1.016	1.286	1.588
72	0.333	0.060	0.135	0.240	0.375	0.540	0.735	0.960	1.216	1.501
80	0.412	0.049	0.109	0.194	0.304	0.437	0.595	0.778	0.985	1.216
90	0.521	0.038	0.086	0.154	0.240	0.346	0.470	0.615	0.778	0.960
100	0.322	0.062	0.140	0.249	0.389	0.560	0.762	0.996	1.260	1.556
110	0.389	0.051	0.116	0.206	0.321	0.463	0.630	0.823	1.041	1.286
120	0.463	0.043	0.097	0.173	0.270	0.389	0.529	0.691	0.875	1.080
130	0.543	0.037	0.083	0.147	0.230	0.331	0.451	0.589	0.746	0.920
140	0.315	0.063	0.143	0.254	0.397	0.571	0.778	1.016	1.286	1.587
150	0.362	0.055	0.124	0.221	0.346	0.498	0.677	0.885	1.120	1.383
Vehicle Speed		D2 (with or without DIM)								
50 - 150		0.7	0.9	0.8	0.75	0.6	0.525	0.4	0.225	0

ELK Road Edge ELK Oncoming ELK Overtaking

		D1 (depending on lateral and longitudinal speed)								
Vehicle Speed	Intentional <u>Lateral acceleration</u> R=400 for V<70 km/h R=800 for 70≤V<100 R=1600 for 100≤V≤130 R=3200 for V>140 for Vlat >0.4m/s	0.2m/s	0.3m/s	0.4m/s	0.5m/s	0.6m/s	0.7m/s	0.8m/s	0.9m/s	1.0m/s
50	0.482	0.041	0.093	0.166	0.259	0.373	0.508	0.664	0.841	1.038
60	0.694	0.029	0.065	0.115	0.180	0.259	0.353	0.461	0.584	0.721
70	0.473	0.042	0.095	0.169	0.265	0.381	0.519	0.677	0.857	1.059
72	0.500	0.040	0.090	0.160	0.250	0.360	0.490	0.640	0.810	1.001
80	0.617	0.032	0.073	0.130	0.203	0.292	0.397	0.519	0.656	0.810
90	0.781	0.026	0.058	0.102	0.160	0.230	0.314	0.410	0.519	0.640
100	0.482	0.041	0.093	0.166	0.259	0.373	0.508	0.664	0.840	1.037
110	0.584	0.034	0.077	0.137	0.214	0.308	0.420	0.548	0.694	0.857
120	0.694	0.029	0.065	0.115	0.180	0.259	0.353	0.461	0.583	0.720
130	0.815	0.025	0.055	0.098	0.153	0.221	0.301	0.393	0.497	0.614
140	0.473	0.021	0.048	0.085	0.132	0.190	0.259	0.339	0.429	0.529
150	0.543	0.018	0.041	0.074	0.115	0.166	0.226	0.295	0.373	0.461
Vehicle Speed		D2 (with or without DIM)								
50 - 150		0.7	0.9	0.8	0.75	0.6	0.525	0.4	0.225	0

ELK Road Edge ELK Oncoming ELK Overtaking

A.2 Alternative Paths for Road Edge, ELK Oncoming and ELK Overtaking

Intended for use where the system intervention occurs before robot steady state phase:

Vehicle Speed	Unintentional Lateral acceleration R=600 for V<70 km/h R=1200 for 70>=V< 100 R=2400 for 100>= V<=130 R=4800 for V>=140 for Vlat <=0.4m/s	Intentional Lateral acceleration R=400 for V<70 km/h R=800 for 70>=V< 100 R=1600 for 100>= V<=130 R=3200 for V>=140 for Vlat >0.4m/s	D1 (depending on lateral and longitudinal speed)								
			0.2m/s	0.3m/s	0.4m/s	0.5m/s	0.6m/s	0.7m/s	0.8m/s	0.9m/s	1.0m/s
50	0.322	0.482	0.062	0.140	0.249	0.259	0.373	0.508	0.664	0.841	1.038
60	0.463	0.694	0.043	0.097	0.173	0.180	0.259	0.353	0.461	0.584	0.721
70	0.315	0.473	0.063	0.143	0.254	0.265	0.381	0.519	0.677	0.857	1.059
72	0.333	0.500	0.060	0.135	0.240	0.250	0.360	0.490	0.640	0.810	1.001
80	0.412	0.617	0.049	0.109	0.194	0.203	0.292	0.397	0.519	0.656	0.810
90	0.521	0.781	0.038	0.086	0.154	0.160	0.230	0.314	0.410	0.519	0.640
100	0.322	0.482	0.062	0.140	0.249	0.259	0.373	0.508	0.664	0.840	1.037
110	0.389	0.584	0.051	0.116	0.206	0.214	0.308	0.420	0.548	0.694	0.857
120	0.463	0.694	0.043	0.097	0.173	0.180	0.259	0.353	0.461	0.583	0.720
130	0.543	0.815	0.037	0.083	0.147	0.153	0.221	0.301	0.393	0.497	0.614
140	0.315	0.473	0.063	0.143	0.254	0.265	0.381	0.518	0.677	0.857	1.058
150	0.362	0.543	0.055	0.124	0.221	0.230	0.332	0.452	0.590	0.747	0.922
Vehicle Speed			D2 (with DIM) Alternative on OEM choice								
50 - 150			0.7	0.9	0.8	1	1.2	1.4	1.6	1.8	2

 ELK Road Edge
 ELK Oncoming
 ELK Overtaking

Testing of higher lateral velocities may also be conducted against the curved section of the S-Bend; where the traditional straight line test approach angle is equal to the intersecting angle of the VUT reference point and the S-Bend line marking.

APPENDIX B APPLICABILITY OF ROBUSTNESS LAYERS

The applicability of the different types of Robustness Layers to each scenario are as follows.

Robustness layers		Road Edge (Lane Boundary)	CC Overtaking	CC Oncoming	CM Overtaking	CM Oncoming
Type	Layer					
VUT	Impact location	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Target (Collision Partner)	Initial position offset	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Type	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Appearance*	Yes ¹	Yes ²	Yes ²	Yes ²	Yes ²
Target (Lane Boundary)	Appearance*	Yes ¹	Yes ²	Yes ²	Yes ²	Yes ²
Environment	Adverse weather conditions	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Illumination (Night time)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Illumination (Glare)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Total applicable Robustness Layers		4	8	8	8	8

* Appearance refers: (1) for Road Edge related to the lane boundaries, or (2) for Oncoming and Overtaking to collision partner