



Hyundai Getz

RATING	SCORE	Front: 10	Side: 14	Seatbelt reminder: 1
 ADULT OCCUPANT ★★☆☆☆	25			
 CHILD OCCUPANT ★★★★★	37			
 PEDESTRIAN ★☆☆☆☆	5			

Adult occupant protection



Frontal impact driver



Frontal impact passenger



Side impact driver

■	GOOD
■	ADEQUATE
■	MARGINAL
■	WEAK
■	POOR

Child restraints

18 month old Child	Britax Roemer Baby-safe Plus, rearward facing
3 year old Child	Britax Roemer Duo Plus, forward facing

Pedestrian protection

No image car front available

Safety equipment

Front seatbelt pretensioners	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Front seatbelt load limiters	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Driver frontal airbag	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Front passenger frontal airbag	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Side body airbags	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Side head airbags	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Driver knee airbag	<input type="checkbox"/>

Car details

Hand of drive	LHD
Tested model	Hyundai Getz 1.3
Body type	SUPERMINI
Year of publication	2004
Kerb weight	1072
VIN from which rating applies	KMHBT51HP5U319180

Comments

The Getz turned in a reasonably balanced performance to gain its four star rating. Its restraint systems include single-stage tethered airbags for the driver and passenger, seat-mounted thorax and head airbags, front seat belts with pre-tensioners and load limiters. An intelligent seat belt reminder is provided for the driver. In-car protection for children was generally good, but the Getz did little to safeguard pedestrians.

Front impact

The body structure was judged to be stable. However it was noted that severe distortion of the rear footwell had occurred. The loads recorded by the driver and passenger dummies' chest instrumentation were quite high. Loads recorded at the driver's left leg were also quite high, while contact with hard, unforgiving structures around the steering column and behind the fascia posed a significant risk to the driver's and passenger's knees.

Side impact

An impressive side impact protection system includes dual chamber side airbags, mounted in both front seats. Loads recorded at the driver dummy's chest were a little high. But the Getz was also penalised because forces were transferred unrealistically from the driver dummy's back to the seat, so reducing the loads measured at its chest.

Child occupant

A permanent label warning of the dangers of placing a child in a rear-facing restraint opposite an active airbag was given, but appeared only on the stowed side of the passenger's sun visor. Protection for child occupants was good. The older child was carried in a forward-facing Britax Romer Duo Plus fitted using the car's ISOFIX mounting points and top tether. The 18 month old was carried using a rearward-facing Britax Romer BabySafe fitted using the adult belt. However, in the frontal test, the recorded neck loads for this child were a little high.

Pedestrian

Only the areas of the bonnet where an adult head might impact offered any protection. The bonnet top where a child's head might strike, the bonnet's leading edge and the bumper were very unfriendly towards pedestrians. A poor result.

