



FIAT 500
Standard Safety Equipment

2017



Adult Occupant



66%

Child Occupant



49%

Pedestrian



53%

Safety Assist



27%

SPECIFICATION

Tested Model	Fiat 500 1.2 Pop, LHD
Body Type	- 3 door hatchback
Year Of Publication	2017
Kerb Weight	865kg
VIN From Which Rating Applies	- from J696837
Class	Supermini

SAFETY EQUIPMENT

	Driver	Passenger	Rear
FRONTAL CRASH PROTECTION			
Frontal airbag	●	●	✘
Belt pretensioner	●	●	✘
Belt loadlimiter	●	●	✘
Knee airbag	●	✘	✘
SIDE CRASH PROTECTION			
Side head airbag	●	●	●
Side chest airbag	●	●	✘
Side pelvis airbag	●	●	✘

Version 230318

SAFETY EQUIPMENT (NEXT)

	Driver	Passenger	Rear
CHILD PROTECTION			
Isofix	—	✗	●
Integrated CRS	—	✗	✗
Airbag cut-off switch	—	●	—
SAFETY ASSIST			
Seat Belt Reminder	●	●	✗

OTHER SYSTEMS	
Active Bonnet (Hood)	✗
AEB Pedestrian	✗
AEB City	✗
AEB Inter-Urban	✗
Speed Assistance System	●
Lane Assist System	✗

Note: Other equipment may be available on the vehicle but was not considered in the test year.

- Fitted to the vehicle as standard
 ○ Fitted to the vehicle as part of the safety pack
○ Not fitted to the test vehicle but available as option or as part of the safety pack
 ✗ Not available
 — Not applicable

ADULT OCCUPANT

Total 25.1 Pts / 66%

■ GOOD
 ■ ADEQUATE
 ■ MARGINAL
 ■ WEAK
 ■ POOR

Frontal Offset Deformable Barrier 7.5 Pts

Passenger Driver

Frontal Full Width 0 Pts

Rear Passenger Driver

Whiplash Rear Impact 2.1 Pts

Front seat Rear seat

Lateral Impact 15.5 Pts

Car Pole

AEB City 0

Performance:

 ADULT OCCUPANT

Total 25.1 Pts / 66%

Comments

The passenger compartment of the 500 remained stable in the frontal offset test. Dummy readings indicated good protection of the knees and femurs for both the driver and the passenger. FIAT showed that a similar level of protection would be provided to occupants of different sizes and to those sat in different positions. In the full-width rigid barrier test, the head of the driver dummy exceeded critical limits for deceleration. As a result, no points were scored for the driver dummy even protection of the chest was adequate, and that of the knees and femurs was good. Likewise for the rear passenger dummy: poor protection of the head resulted in all points being lost. However, in this case the chest would not have scored points anyway, owing to excessive shoulder belt force, and the abdomen lost all points owing to a phenomenon known as 'submarining', in which the dummy slips under the lap portion of the belt. In contrast to its performance in the full-width test, the 500 scored maximum points both in the side barrier test and the more severe side pole impact, with good protection of all critical body regions. Tests on the front seats and head restraints demonstrated good protection against whiplash injuries in the event of a rear-end collision. A geometric assessment of the rear seats indicated marginal whiplash protection. The 500 does not have an autonomous emergency braking system.

CHILD OCCUPANT

Total 24.4 Pts / 49%

■ GOOD
 ■ ADEQUATE
 ■ MARGINAL
 ■ WEAK
 ■ POOR

Crash Test Performance based on 6 & 10 year old children

12.7 Pts

Frontal Impact 5.7 Pts



Lateral Impact 7 Pts



Restraint for 6 year old child: *Römer KidFix XP*
 Restraint for 10 year old child: *Safety 1st Manga*

Safety Features

2 Pts

	Front Passenger	2nd row outboard
Isofix	✗	●
i-Size	✗	●
Integrated CRS	✗	✗

● Fitted to test car as standard
 ○ Not on test car but available as option
 ✗ Not available

CRS Installation Check

9.8 Pts

● Install without problem
 ● Install with care
 ● Safety critical problem
 ✗ Installation not allowed

■ i-Size CRS

Maxi Cosi 2way Pearl & 2wayFix (rearward) (iSize)



Maxi Cosi 2way Pearl & 2wayFix (forward) (iSize)



BeSafe iZi Kid X2 i-Size (iSize)



CHILD OCCUPANT

Total 24.4 Pts / 49%

ISOFIX CRS

Maxi Cosi Cabriofix & FamilyFix (ISOFIX)



BeSafe iZi Kid X4 ISOfix (ISOFIX)



Römer Duo Plus (ISOFIX)



Römer KidFix XP (ISOFIX)



Universal Belted CRS

Maxi Cosi Cabriofix (Belt)



Maxi Cosi Cabriofix & EasyBase2 (Belt)



Römer King II LS (Belt)



Römer KidFix XP (Belt)



CHILD OCCUPANT

Total 24.4 Pts / 49%

	Seat Position		
	Front	2nd row	
	PASSENGER	LEFT	RIGHT
Maxi Cosi 2way Pearl & 2wayFix (rearward) (iSize)	□	●	●
Maxi Cosi 2way Pearl & 2wayFix (forward) (iSize)	□	●	●
BeSafe iZi Kid X2 i-Size (iSize)	□	●	●
Maxi Cosi Cabriofix & FamilyFix (ISOFIX)	□	●	●
BeSafe iZi Kid X4 ISOfix (ISOFIX)	□	●	●
Römer Duo Plus (ISOFIX)	□	●	●
Römer KidFix XP (ISOFIX)	□	●	●
Maxi Cosi Cabriofix (Belt)	●	●	●
Maxi Cosi Cabriofix & EasyBase2 (Belt)	●	●	●
Römer King II LS (Belt)	●	●	●
Römer KidFix XP (Belt)	●	●	●

● Install without problem
 ● Install with care
 ● Safety critical problem
 ✘ Installation not allowed

Comments

In the frontal offset test, forward movement of the 10 year dummy was excessive and the head hit the back of the seat in front. As a result, dummy readings for the head and neck were high and points were scored only for adequate protection of the chest. Neck tension in the 6 year dummy indicated poor protection for this body region. Protection of the chest was marginal and that of the head was adequate. In the side barrier test, high decelerations in the chest of the 10 year dummy, on the struck side, demonstrated poor protection but other body regions, and the whole of the 6 year dummy, were well protected. The front passenger airbag can be disabled to allow a rearward-facing child restraint to be used in that seating position. However, the information provided to the driver regarding the status of the airbag is not sufficiently clear and the system was not rewarded. Only one seating position - the rear passenger side - has iSize anchorages and installation of some child restraints on the rear driver's side did not meet Euro NCAP's requirements.

PEDESTRIAN PROTECTION

Total 22.5 Pts / 53%

■ GOOD
 ■ ADEQUATE
 ■ MARGINAL
 ■ WEAK
 ■ POOR

Pedestrian Protection	22.5 Pts						
	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;">Head Impact</td> <td style="text-align: right; padding: 5px;">12.5 Pts</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;">Pelvis Impact</td> <td style="text-align: right; padding: 5px;">4.1 Pts</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;">Leg Impact</td> <td style="text-align: right; padding: 5px;">6 Pts</td> </tr> </table>	Head Impact	12.5 Pts	Pelvis Impact	4.1 Pts	Leg Impact	6 Pts
Head Impact	12.5 Pts						
Pelvis Impact	4.1 Pts						
Leg Impact	6 Pts						

AEB Pedestrian	0 Pts
----------------	-------

Comments

The bonnet provides predominantly marginal protection to the head of a struck pedestrian, with poor results recorded on the front edge of the windscreen and on the stiff windscreen pillars. The protection provided to pedestrians' legs was good, and maximum points were scored, but that offered to the pelvis was more mixed, with areas of good and poor performance.

SAFETY ASSIST

Total 3.2 Pts / 27%

■ GOOD
 ■ ADEQUATE
 ■ MARGINAL
 ■ WEAK
 ■ POOR

Speed Assistance

1.3 Pts

System Name	Speed Limiter
Speed Limit Information Function	N/A
Warning Function	Manually set
Speed Limitation Function	Manually set (accurate to 10km/h)

Seat Belt Reminder

2 Pts

Applies To	Front seats		
	Driver Seat	front passenger(s)	rear passenger(s)
Warning			
Visual	●	●	—
Audible	●	●	—

● Pass
 ● Fail
 — Not available

Lane Support

0 Pts

System Name	0
Operational From	0 km/h
Warning	0
PERFORMANCE	
LDW Confirmation Test	Fail



SAFETY ASSIST

Total 3.2 Pts / 27%

Comments

The 500 has, as standard, a seatbelt reminder for the front and rear seats. A driver-set speed limiter is also standard. There is no autonomous braking or lane assistance system.

RATING VALIDITY

Variants of Model Range

Body Type	Engine & Transmission	Model Name/Code	Drivetrain	Rating Applies	
				LHD	RHD
3 door hatchback	1.2 Petrol, Manual and Auto	FIAT 500 1.2	4x2	✓	✓
3 door hatchback	1.2 Petrol + LPG, Manual and Auto	FIAT 500 1.2 GPL	4x2	✓	✓
3 door hatchback	0.9 Petrol, Manual and Auto	FIAT 500 0.9 Twin Air	4x2	✓	✓
3 door hatchback	1.3 Diesel, Manual and Auto	FIAT 500 1.3 Multijet	4x2	✓	✓
2 door cabrio	All	All	All	✗	✗

* Tested variant

Annual Reviews and Facelifts

Date	Event	Outcome
March 2017	Rating Published	2017 ★★☆☆☆ ✓