



Honda CR-V
Standard Safety Equipment

2019 ★★★★★



Adult Occupant



93%

Child Occupant



83%

Vulnerable Road Users



70%

Safety Assist



76%

SPECIFICATION

Tested Model	Honda CR-V 2.0 Hybrid, LHD
Body Type	- 5 door SUV
Year Of Publication	2019
Kerb Weight	1612kg
VIN From Which Rating Applies	- all CR-Vs
Class	Large Off-Road

SAFETY EQUIPMENT

	Driver	Passenger	Rear
FRONTAL CRASH PROTECTION			
Frontal airbag	●	●	✘
Belt pretensioner	●	●	●
Belt loadlimiter	●	●	●
Knee airbag	✘	✘	✘
SIDE CRASH PROTECTION			
Side head airbag	●	●	●
Side chest airbag	●	●	✘
Side pelvis airbag	✘	✘	✘

Version 041019

SAFETY EQUIPMENT (NEXT)

	Driver	Passenger	Rear
CHILD PROTECTION			
Isofix	—	✗	●
Integrated CRS	—	✗	✗
Airbag cut-off switch	—	●	—
SAFETY ASSIST			
Seat Belt Reminder	●	●	●

OTHER SYSTEMS	
Active Bonnet (Hood)	✗
AEB Pedestrian	●
AEB Cyclist	●
AEB City	●
AEB Inter-Urban	●
Speed Assistance System	●
Lane Assist System	●

Note: Other equipment may be available on the vehicle but was not considered in the test year.


- Fitted to the vehicle as standard ○ Fitted to the vehicle as part of the safety pack
- Not fitted to the test vehicle but available as option or as part of the safety pack ✗ Not available — Not applicable

 ADULT OCCUPANT

Total 35.7 Pts / 93%

 GOOD  ADEQUATE  MARGINAL  WEAK  POOR


Frontal Offset Deformable Barrier 7.7 / 8 Pts



Passenger Driver

Detailed description: This panel shows two crash test dummies. The Passenger dummy is green, indicating a 'GOOD' result. The Driver dummy is yellow, indicating an 'ADEQUATE' result.


Frontal Full Width 7.4 / 8 Pts



Rear Passenger Driver

Detailed description: This panel shows two crash test dummies. The Rear Passenger dummy is yellow, indicating an 'ADEQUATE' result. The Driver dummy is green, indicating a 'GOOD' result.


Whiplash Rear Impact 1.4 / 2 Pts



Front seat Rear seat

Detailed description: This panel shows two views of a head and neck dummy. The Front seat view shows a green neck, indicating a 'GOOD' result. The Rear seat view shows a red neck, indicating a 'POOR' result.

Lateral Impact 15.1 / 16 Pts



Car Pole

Detailed description: This panel shows two side-view crash test dummies. The Car dummy is green, indicating a 'GOOD' result. The Pole dummy is orange, indicating a 'MARGINAL' result.

 ADULT OCCUPANT

Total 35.7 Pts / 93%

 GOOD  ADEQUATE  MARGINAL  WEAK  POOR

AEB City

 4 / 4 Pts

Approaching a stationary car: Left Offset



Approaching a stationary car: No Offset



Approaching a stationary car: Right Offset



 ADULT OCCUPANT

Total 35.7 Pts / 93%

Comments

The passenger compartment of the CR-V remained stable in the frontal offset test. Dummy readings indicated good protection of the knees and femurs of the driver and passenger. Honda showed that a similar level of protection would be provided to occupants of different sizes and to those sitting in different positions. Protection of the passenger dummy was good for all critical body areas. In the full-width rigid barrier test, protection of the driver and rear passenger was good or adequate for all critical body areas. In the side barrier test, protection of all critical body areas was good and the CR-V scored maximum points. In the more severe side pole impact, dummy readings of rib deflection indicated marginal protection of the chest. Tests on the front seats and head restraints demonstrated good protection against whiplash injury in the event of a rear-end collision. However, a geometric assessment of the rear seats indicated poor whiplash protection. The standard-fit autonomous emergency braking (AEB) system performed well in tests at the low speeds, typical of city driving, at which many whiplash injuries are caused.


CHILD OCCUPANT

Total 40.7 Pts / 83%

GOOD
 ADEQUATE
 MARGINAL
 WEAK
 POOR

Crash Test Performance based on 6 & 10 year old children

21.7 / 24 Pts



Restraint for 6 year old child: *Britax Römer KidFix XP SICT*
 Restraint for 10 year old child: *Booster Cushion*

Safety Features

7 / 13 Pts

	Front Passenger	2nd row outboard	2nd row center	3rd row outboard *
Isofix	✘	●	✘	✘
i-Size	✘	●	✘	✘
Integrated CRS	✘	✘	✘	✘

* Third row seats available as option

Fitted to test car as standard
 Not on test car but available as option
 ✘ Not available

CRS Installation Check

12 / 12 Pts

- Install without problem
- Install with care
- Safety critical problem
- ✗ Installation not allowed

i-Size CRS

Maxi Cosi 2way Pearl & 2wayFix (rearward) (iSize)



Maxi Cosi 2way Pearl & 2wayFix (forward) (iSize)



BeSafe iZi Kid X2 i-Size (iSize)



BeSafe iZi Flex FIX i-Size (iSize)



ISOFIX CRS

Maxi Cosi Cabriofix & FamilyFix (ISOFIX)



BeSafe iZi Kid X4 ISOfix (ISOFIX)



Britax Römer Duo Plus (ISOFIX)



Britax Römer KidFix XP (ISOFIX)



 CHILD OCCUPANT

Total 40.7 Pts / 83%

■ Universal Belted CRS

Maxi Cosi Cabriofix (Belt)



Maxi Cosi Cabriofix & EasyBase2 (Belt)



Britax Römer King II LS (Belt)



Britax Römer KidFix XP (Belt)



CHILD OCCUPANT

Total 40.7 Pts / 83%

	Seat Position					
	Front	2nd row			3rd row	
	PASSENGER	LEFT	CENTER	RIGHT	LEFT	RIGHT
Maxi Cosi 2way Pearl & 2wayFix (rearward) (iSize)	□	●	□	●	□	□
Maxi Cosi 2way Pearl & 2wayFix (forward) (iSize)	□	●	□	●	□	□
BeSafe iZi Kid X2 i-Size (iSize)	□	●	□	●	□	□
BeSafe iZi Flex FIXi-Size (iSize)	□	●	□	●	□	□
Maxi Cosi Cabriofix & FamilyFix (ISOFIX)	□	●	□	●	□	□
BeSafe iZi Kid X4 ISOfix (ISOFIX)	□	●	□	●	□	□
Britax Römer Duo Plus (ISOFIX)	□	●	□	●	□	□
Britax Römer KidFix XP (ISOFIX)	□	●	□	●	□	□
Maxi Cosi Cabriofix (Belt)	●	●	●	●	●	●
Maxi Cosi Cabriofix & EasyBase2 (Belt)	●	●	●	●	✘	✘
Britax Römer King II LS (Belt)	●	●	●	●	●	●
Britax Römer KidFix XP (Belt)	●	●	●	●	●	●

● Install without problem
 ● Install with care
 ● Safety critical problem
 ✘ Installation not allowed


Comments

In the frontal offset test, dummy readings of tensile forces indicated marginal protection of the neck for the 10-year dummy. Otherwise, protection of both dummies was good or adequate. In the side barrier test, protection of both child dummies was good and maximum points were scored. The front passenger airbag can be disabled to allow a rearward-facing child restraint to be used in this seating position. Clear information is provided to the driver regarding the status of the airbag and the system was rewarded. All of the restraint types for which the CR-V is designed could be properly installed and accommodated, including in the optional third row seats.

 **VULNERABLE ROAD USERS**

Total 33.6 Pts / 70%

■ GOOD
 ■ ADEQUATE
 ■ MARGINAL
 ■ WEAK
 ■ POOR

Pedestrian	25.8 / 36 Pts						
	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;">Head Impact</td> <td style="text-align: right; padding: 5px;">16.8 Pts</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;">Pelvis Impact</td> <td style="text-align: right; padding: 5px;">3 Pts</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;">Leg Impact</td> <td style="text-align: right; padding: 5px;">6 Pts</td> </tr> </table>	Head Impact	16.8 Pts	Pelvis Impact	3 Pts	Leg Impact	6 Pts
Head Impact	16.8 Pts						
Pelvis Impact	3 Pts						
Leg Impact	6 Pts						

Vulnerable Road Users		7.8 / 12 Pts
System Name	Collision Mitigation Brake System	
Type	Auto-Brake with Forward Collision Warning	
Operational From	5 km/h	

Comments

The protection provided by the bonnet to the head of a struck pedestrian was good or adequate over most of its surface, with areas of good and poor performance. Protection of pedestrian's legs was good in all test areas and the CR-V scored maximum points. Protection of pelvis was mixed. The AEB system can detect pedestrians and cyclists, as well as other vehicles. The system performed well in pedestrian tests but was marginal in the more severe cyclist tests.


 VULNERABLE ROAD USERS

Total 33.6 Pts / 70%


AEB Pedestrian 

■ Day time


Adult crossing the road



Child running from behind parked vehicles



Adult along the roadside




■ Night time

Adult crossing the road




Adult along the roadside




AEB Cyclist 

Cyclist crossing



Cyclist along the roadside



SAFETY ASSIST

Total 9.9 Pts / 76%

■ GOOD
 ■ ADEQUATE
 ■ MARGINAL
 ■ WEAK
 ■ POOR

Speed Assistance

■ 2.5 / 3 Pts

System Name	Intelligent Speed Limiter
Speed Limit Information Function	Camera based
Speed Limitation Function	System advised (accurate to 5km/h)

Seat Belt Reminder

■ 2.5 / 3 Pts

Applies To	Not available		
	Driver Seat	front passenger(s)	rear passenger(s)
Warning			
Visual	●	●	●
Audible	●	●	●
Occupant detection	—	●	—

● Pass
 ● Fail
 — Not available

Lane Support

■ 2.3 / 4 Pts

System Name	Road Departure Mitigation
Type	LKA (including LDW)
Operational From	72 km/h

PERFORMANCE	
Lane Keep Assist	■ GOOD
Human Machine Interface	■ ADEQUATE

SAFETY ASSIST

Total 9.9 Pts / 76%

AEB Inter-Urban

2.7 / 3 Pts


System Name	Collision Mitigation Brake System
Type	Autonomous Emergency Braking and Forward Collision Warning
Operational From	5 km/h
Additional Information	Supplementary warning and Restraint activation

Comments


The AEB system gave generally good results in tests of its functionality at highway speeds. The car has a lane assistance system which helps prevent inadvertent drifting out of lane. A camera-based speed assistance system recognises local speed limits and presents the information to the driver, allowing the limiter to be manually set to the appropriate speed. A seatbelt reminder is standard for front and rear seats.

■ **Autobrake function only**


Approaching a slower moving car




Approaching a slower moving car



Approaching a slower moving car



Approaching a braking car




 SAFETY ASSIST


Total 9.9 Pts / 76%

■ Driver reacts to warning


Approaching a stationary car




Approaching a stationary car




Approaching a stationary car




Approaching a slower moving car




Approaching a slower moving car



Approaching a slower moving car



Approaching a braking car



RATING VALIDITY

Variants of Model Range

Body Type	Engine	Drivetrain	Rating Applies	
			LHD	RHD
5 door SUV	1.5 petrol	4 x 2	✓	✓
5 door SUV	1.5 petrol	4 x 4	✓	✓
5 door SUV	2.0 petrol hybrid*	4 x 2	✓	✓
5 door SUV	2.0 petrol hybrid	4 x 4	✓	✓

* Tested variant

Annual Reviews and Facelifts

Date	Event	Outcome
February 2019	Rating Published	2019 ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ✓