## **TEST RESULTS**



Ford Kuga Standard Safety Equipment



2019 \star 🛧 🛧 🛧



## SPECIFICATION

Tested Model	Ford Kuga 2.0 diesel 4x4 automatic, LHD
Body Type	- 5 door SUV
Year Of Publication	2019
Kerb Weight	1769kg
VIN From Which Rating Applies	- all Kugas
Class	Small Off-Road



# SAFETY EQUIPMENT

	Driver	Passenger	Rear
FRONTAL CRASH PROTECTION			
Frontal airbag	•	•	×
Belt pretensioner	•	•	٠
Belt loadlimiter	•	•	٠
Knee airbag	×	×	×
SIDE CRASH PROTECTION			
Side head airbag	•	•	٠
Side chest airbag		•	×
Side pelvis airbag		•	×

Euro NCAP © Ford Kuga Dec 2019 2/16



## SAFETY EQUIPMENT (NEXT)

	Driver	Passenger	Rear
CHILD PROTECTION			
Isofix	—	×	٠
Integrated CRS	—	×	×
Airbag cut-off switch	_	•	_
SAFETY ASSIST			
Seat Belt Reminder	•	•	٠

OTHER SYSTEMS	
Active Bonnet (Hood)	*
AEB Pedestrian	•
AEB City	
AEB Cyclist	
AEB Inter-Urban	
Speed Assistance System	
Lane Assist System	

Note: Other equipment may be available on the vehicle but was not considered in the test year.

- Fitted to the vehicle as standard
- O Not fitted to the test vehicle but available as option or as part of the safety pack

🗙 Not available 🛛 🗕 Not applicable





Euro NCAP © Ford Kuga Dec 2019 4/16





#### ADULT OCCUPANT

Total 35.2 Pts / 92%

#### Comments

The passenger compartment of the Kuga remained stable in the frontal offset test. Dummy readings indicated good protection of the knees and femurs of the driver and passenger. Ford showed that a similar level of protection would be provided to occupants of different sizes and to those sitting in different positions. Protection of the driver's chest and lower leg was rated as marginal. In the full-width rigid barrier test, protection the driver's chest was again marginal but that of all other critical body areas was good or adequate for both the driver and rear passenger. In both the side barrier and the more severe side pole tests, protection of all critical body areas was good and the car scored full points in both of these tests. Tests on the front seats and head restraints demonstrated good whiplash protection. The standard-fit autonomous emergency braking (AEB) system performed well in tests of its functionality at the low speeds at which many whiplash injuries occur.





Restraint for 6 year old child: *Britax Römer KidFix XP* Restraint for 10 year old child: *Booster Cushion* 

## Safety Features

## 7.0 / 13 Pts

	Front Passenger	2nd row outboard	2nd row center
Isofix	×	•	×
i-Size	×	•	×
Integrated CRS	×	×	×
Fitted to test car as standard O Not on test car but available as option X	Not available		







## ISOFIX CRS



# X



# 🔄 CHILD OCCUPANT

#### Total 42.6 Pts / 86%

#### Universal Belted CRS



## Britax Römer KidFix XP (Belt)



Maxi Cosi Cabriofix & EasyBase2 (Belt)









## 🐚 CHILD OCCUPANT

Total 42.6 Pts / 86%

		Seat Position		
	Front	Front 2nd row		
	PASSENGER	LEFT	CENTER	RIGHT
Maxi Cosi 2way Pearl & 2wayFix (rearward) (iSize)	_	•	_	•
Maxi Cosi 2way Pearl & 2wayFix (forward) (iSize)	_	•	_	•
BeSafe iZi Kid X2 i-Size (iSize)	_	•	_	•
BeSafe iZi Flex FIT i-Size (iSize)	_	•	_	•
Maxi Cosi Cabriofix & FamilyFix (ISOFIX)	_	•	_	•
BeSafe iZi Kid X4 ISOfix (ISOFIX)	_	•	_	•
Britax Römer Duo Plus (ISOFIX)	_	•	_	•
Britax Römer KidFix XP (ISOFIX)	_	•	_	•
Maxi Cosi Cabriofix (Belt)	•	•	•	•
Maxi Cosi Cabriofix & EasyBase2 (Belt)	•		•	•
Britax Römer King II LS (Belt)	•	•	•	•
Britax Römer KidFix XP (Belt)	•			

Not available

#### Comments

In both the frontal and side barrier tests, protection of all critical body areas was good for both dummies with the exception of the head of the 10 year dummy, protection of which was rated as adequate. The front passenger airbag can be disabled to allow a rearward-facing child restraint to be used in that seating position. Clear information is provided to the driver regarding the status of the airbag and the system was rewarded. One universal child restraint could not be properly installed in the rear centre seat owing to the centre tunnel preventing correct placement of the support leg of the base. Otherwise, all restraints types for the which the Kuga is designed could be properly installed and accommodated.





11.0 / 12 Pts
Pre-Collision Assist with AEB
Auto-Brake with Forward Collision Warning
10 km/h

#### Comments

The bonnet provided predominantly good or adequate protection to the head of a struck pedestrian, with some poor results recorded on the stiff windscreen pillars. The bumper provided generally good protection to pedestrians' legs and protection of the pelvis was also mostly good. The Kuga's AEB system can detect vulnerable road users like pedestrians and cyclists, as well as other vehicles. In tests, the system's response to both was good, with collisions avoided or mitigated in most cases.





Total 39.6 Pts / 82%

### AEB Pedestrian

Day time



Child running from behind parked vehicles



Adult along the roadside



#### Night time



Adult along the roadside



## AEB Cyclist





System Name	Lane Keeping System
Туре	ELK + LKA (including LDW)
Operational From	30 km/h
PERFORMANCE	
Emergency Lane Keeping	GOOD
Lane Keep Assist	GOOD
Human Machine Interface	ADEQUATE



# 🛜 SAFETY ASSIST

Total 9.5 Pts / 73%

 AEB Inter-Urban
 2.3 / 3 Pts

 System Name
 Pre-Collision Assist with AEB

 Type
 Autonomous Emergency Braking and Forward Collision Warning

#### Comments

The Kuga has a seatbelt reminder system for the front and rear seats. The AEB system performed adequately in tests of its response to other vehicles at highway speeds. A lane support system helps prevent inadvertent drifting out of lane and also intervenes in some more critical situations. A driver-set speed limiter helps the driver to avoid over-speeding.

#### Autobrake function only

Approaching a slower moving car

**Operational From** 



Approaching a slower moving car





5 km/h

Approaching a braking car







Total 9.5 Pts / 73%

Driver reacts to warning





Approaching a stationary car



Approaching a slower moving car



Approaching a braking car





Approaching a slower moving car



Approaching a slower moving car





## RATING VALIDITY

## Variants of Model Range

Body Type	Engine	Drivetrain	Rating Applies	
			LHD	RHD
5 door SUV	2.0 diesel	4 x 2	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
5 door SUV	2.0 diesel*	4 x 4	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
5 door SUV	1.5 diesel	4 x 2	~	$\checkmark$
5 door SUV	1.5 petrol	4 x 2	~	$\checkmark$
5 door SUV	1.5 petrol	4 x 4	~	$\checkmark$
5 door SUV	2.5 petrol PHEV	4 x 4	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
5 door SUV	2.5 petrol FHEV	4 x 4	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$

\* Tested variant

## Annual Reviews and Facelifts

Date	Event	Outcome	
December 2019	Rating Published	2019 ★ ★ ★ ★	~
September 2021	Addition of PHEV, FHEV variants	2019 ★ ★ ★ ★	~