



Suzuki Vitara
Supermini

2015



Adult Occupant



89%

Child Occupant



85%

Pedestrian



76%

Safety Assist



75%

SPECIFICATION

Tested Model	Suzuki Vitara 1.6 GL+, LHD
Body Type	- 5 door hatchback
Year Of Publication	2015
Kerb Weight	1114kg
VIN From Which Rating Applies	- all Vitaras of the specification tested
Class	Supermini

✗ Rating Expired

SAFETY EQUIPMENT

	Driver	Passenger	Rear
FRONTAL CRASH PROTECTION			
Frontal airbag	●	●	✘
Belt pretensioner	●	●	●
Belt loadlimiter	●	●	●
Knee airbag	●	✘	✘
SIDE CRASH PROTECTION			
Side head airbag	●	●	●
Side chest airbag	●	●	✘
Side pelvis airbag	✘	✘	✘

Version 050122

SAFETY EQUIPMENT (NEXT)

	Driver	Passenger	Rear
CHILD PROTECTION			
Isofix	—	✘	●
Integrated CRS	—	✘	✘
Airbag cut-off switch	—	●	—
SAFETY ASSIST			
Seat Belt Reminder	●	●	●

OTHER SYSTEMS	
Active Bonnet (Hood)	✘
ESC	●
AEB City	○
AEB Inter-Urban	○
Speed Assistance System	○
Lane Assist System	✘

Note: Other equipment may be available on the vehicle but was not considered in the test year.


- Fitted to the vehicle as standard
 ○ Fitted to the vehicle as option
○ Not fitted to the test vehicle but available as option
 ✘ Not Available — Not Applicable

 ADULT OCCUPANT

Total 34.1 Pts / 89%

 GOOD  ADEQUATE  MARGINAL  WEAK  POOR

Frontal Offset Deformable Barrier 7.8 Pts



Passenger Driver

Detailed description: This panel shows the results for the Frontal Offset Deformable Barrier test. It features two 3D crash test dummy models. The Passenger dummy is entirely green, indicating a 'GOOD' performance. The Driver dummy is primarily green but has a yellow section on its right leg, indicating an 'ADEQUATE' performance.


Frontal Full Width 7.7 Pts



Rear Passenger Driver

Detailed description: This panel shows the results for the Frontal Full Width test. It features two 3D crash test dummy models. The Rear Passenger dummy is primarily green with a yellow section on its right leg, indicating an 'ADEQUATE' performance. The Driver dummy is entirely green, indicating a 'GOOD' performance.


Whiplash Rear Impact 2.7 Pts



Front seat Rear seat

Detailed description: This panel shows the results for the Whiplash Rear Impact test. It features two 3D dummy head and neck models. The Front seat model shows a green neck, indicating a 'GOOD' performance. The Rear seat model shows a yellow neck, indicating an 'ADEQUATE' performance.


Lateral Impact 16.0 Pts



Car Pole

Detailed description: This panel shows the results for the Lateral Impact test. It features two 3D dummy models. The Car model is entirely green, indicating a 'GOOD' performance. The Pole model is also entirely green, indicating a 'GOOD' performance.

AEB City 0.0

Performance: 

Detailed description: This panel shows the results for the AEB City test. The score is 0.0. The performance bar is a solid grey rectangle, indicating a 'POOR' performance.



ADULT OCCUPANT

Total 34.1 Pts / 89%



GOOD



ADEQUATE



MARGINAL



WEAK



POOR

 ADULT OCCUPANT

Total 34.1 Pts / 89%

Comments

The passenger compartment of the Vitara remained stable in the frontal offset test. The car scored maximum points for its protection of the passenger dummy in this test, with good protection of all body areas. Dummy readings showed good protection of the knees and femurs of the driver and passenger. Suzuki showed that a similar level of protection would be provided to occupants of different sizes and to those sat in different positions. In the full-width frontal impact, protection of the front passenger was good for all body regions except the chest, protection of which was adequate. For the rear passenger, protection of the neck and chest was adequate and that of the head and femurs was good. The Vitara scored maximum points in the side impact barrier test with good protection of all critical body areas. Even in the more severe side pole impact, protection was good for all areas and maximum points were scored. Tests on the front seats and head restraints showed good protection against whiplash injury in the event of a rear-end collision and a geometric assessment of the rear seats also indicated good protection. The Vitara has an autonomous emergency braking system which operates at the low speeds typical of city driving. However, as the system is not standard equipment, its AEB City functionality did not qualify for inclusion in the assessment.

CHILD OCCUPANT


Total 42.0 Pts / 85%

■ GOOD
 ■ ADEQUATE
 ■ MARGINAL
 ■ WEAK
 ■ POOR

Crash Test Performance

23.0 Pts


18 months old child 12 Pts



Tested restraint (Fit):
FAIR G0/1

■ Good

36 months old child 11 Pts



Tested restraint (Fit):
Britax Römer Duo Plus

■ Adequate

Safety Features

7.0 Pts

	Front Passenger	2nd row outboard
Isofix	✗	●
i-Size	✗	●
Integrated CRS	✗	✗

● Fitted to test car as standard
 ○ Not on test car but available as option
 ✗ Not available

CRS Installation Check

12.0 Pts

● Install without problem
 ● Install with care
 ● Safety critical problem
 ✗ Installation not allowed

■ **Infants up to 13 kg**

Maxi Cosi Cabriofix (Belt)




Maxi Cosi Cabriofix & EasyFix (Belt)



Maxi Cosi Cabriofix & EasyFix (ISOFIX)



 CHILD OCCUPANT

Total 42.0 Pts / 85%

■ Infants and toddlers up to 18 kg

BeSafe iZi Kid X3 ISOFix (ISOFIX)



■ Toddlers from 9 to 18 kg

Britax Römer King Plus (Belt)



Britax Römer Duo Plus (ISOFIX)



Maxi Cosi Pearl & Familyfix (ISOFIX)



■ Toddlers over 18 kg

Britax Römer KidFix (Belt)



Britax Römer KidFix (ISOFIX)



CHILD OCCUPANT

Total 42.0 Pts / 85%

	Seat Position			
	Front	2nd row		
	PASSENGER	LEFT	CENTER	RIGHT
Maxi Cosi Cabriofix (Belt)	●	●	✘	●
Britax Römer King Plus (Belt)	●	●	✘	●
Britax Römer Duo Plus (ISOFIX)	✘	●	✘	●
Britax Römer KidFix (Belt)	●	●	✘	●
Maxi Cosi Cabriofix & EasyFix (Belt)	✘	●	✘	●
Maxi Cosi Cabriofix & EasyFix (ISOFIX)	✘	●	✘	●
BeSafe iZi Kid X3 ISOfix (ISOFIX)	✘	●	✘	●
Maxi Cosi Pearl & Familyfix (ISOFIX)	✘	●	✘	●
Britax Römer KidFix (ISOFIX)	✘	●	✘	●

● Install without problem
 ● Install with care
 ● Safety critical problem
 ✘ Installation not allowed
 — Not available


Comments

In the dynamic tests, the Vitara scored maximum points for its protection of the 1 year dummy. In the frontal impact, forward movement of the head of the 3 year dummy, sat in a forward-facing child restraint, was not excessive although measurements of the neck tension were marginally high. In the side impact, both dummies were properly contained within the protective shells of their restraints, minimising the risk of head contact with parts of the car interior. The front passenger airbag can be disabled to allow a rearward-facing child restraint to be used in that seating position. Clear information is provided regarding the status of the airbag and the system was rewarded. All of the restraint types for which the car is designed could be properly installed and accommodated in the vehicle.

 PEDESTRIAN

Total 27.6 Pts / 76%

■ GOOD
 ■ ADEQUATE
 ■ MARGINAL
 ■ WEAK
 ■ POOR

Pedestrian	27.7 Pts						
	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;">Head Impact</td> <td style="text-align: right; padding: 5px;">17.9 Pts</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;">Pelvis Impact</td> <td style="text-align: right; padding: 5px;">3.8 Pts</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;">Leg Impact</td> <td style="text-align: right; padding: 5px;">6.0 Pts</td> </tr> </table>	Head Impact	17.9 Pts	Pelvis Impact	3.8 Pts	Leg Impact	6.0 Pts
Head Impact	17.9 Pts						
Pelvis Impact	3.8 Pts						
Leg Impact	6.0 Pts						

Comments

The bumper provided good protection to pedestrians' legs in all areas tested. However, the protection provided to the pelvis area was mixed, some areas showing good protection and others poor. The surface of the bonnet provided good protection to the head of a struck pedestrian in almost all areas tested, with some poor results only on the stiff windscreen pillars.

SAFETY ASSIST

Total 9.8 Pts / 75%

GOOD
 ADEQUATE
 MARGINAL
 WEAK
 POOR

Speed Assistance

1.3 Pts

Speed Limit Information Function	N/A
Warning Function	Manually set
Speed Limitation Function	Manually set

Electronic Stability Control

3 Pts

PERFORMANCE		
Vehicle Yaw Rate @ COS + 1.00 s	3.6%	meets ECE requirements
Vehicle Yaw Rate @ COS + 1.75 s	2.4%	meets ECE requirements
Lateral Displacement @ BOS + 1.07 s	3.01 m	meets ECE requirements

Seatbelt Reminder

3.0 Pts

Applies To	All seats		
	Driver Seat	Front Passenger(s)	Rear Passenger(s)
Warning			
Visual	●	●	●
Audible	●	●	●

● Pass
 ● Fail
 — Not available

Lane Support

0 Pts

SAFETY ASSIST

Total 9.8 Pts / 75%

AEB Inter-Urban

2.4 Pts

System Name	Radar Brake Support
Type	Forward Collision Warning with Auto-Brake
Operational From	10 km/h
Additional Information	Default On

PERFORMANCE |

	Autobrake Function Only	Driver reacts to warning
Operational Speed	10-100 km/h	10-100 km/h
Approaching a stationary car	See AEB City	Crash avoided up to 25km/h. Crash speed reduced up to 65km/h.
Approaching a slower moving car	Crash avoided up to 60km/h. Crash speed reduced up to 70km/h.	Crash avoided up to 80km/h. Crash speed reduced up to 80km/h.
FOLLOWING A CAR AT SHORT DISTANCE		
Car in front brakes gently	Avoidance	Avoidance
Car in front brakes harshly	Mitigation	Mitigation
FOLLOWING A CAR AT LONG DISTANCE		
Car in front brakes gently	Avoidance	Avoidance
Car in front brakes harshly	Avoidance	Avoidance

Comments

Electronic stability control is standard equipment on the Vitara, as is a seatbelt reminder system for the front and rear seats. A driver-set speed limitation system is an option but is expected to be fitted to most vehicles sold and met Euro NCAP's requirements for systems of this type. An autonomous emergency braking system is available as an option. Although the system's low-speed functionality was not eligible for assessment (as the system is not standard), its functionality at the higher speeds typical of inter-urban driving was included and its performance was good. A lane departure warning system is not available for the Vitara.

RATING VALIDITY

Variants of Model Range

Annual Reviews and Facelifts

Date	Event	Outcome
April 2015	Rating Published	2015 ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ✓
April 2016	Annual Review	2015 ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ✓
April 2017	Annual Review	2015 ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ✓
April 2018	Annual Review	2015 ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ✓
April 2019	Annual Review	2015 ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ✓
April 2020	Annual Review	2015 ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ✓
April 2021	Annual Review	2015 ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ✓
January 2022	Rating Expired	2015 ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ✗