



Skoda Scala Standard Safety Equipment

2019





Adult Occupant



97%

Child Occupant



Safety Assist

87%

Vulnerable Road Users







76%

SPECIFICATION

Tested Model	Škoda Scala 1.0 TSI "Ambition", LHD
Body Type	- 5 door hatchback
Year Of Publication	2019
Kerb Weight	1138kg
VIN From Which Rating Applies	- all Scalas
Class	Small Family Car



SAFETY EQUIPMENT

	Driver	Passenger	Rear
FRONTAL CRASH PROTECTION			
Frontal airbag	•	•	_
Belt pretensioner	•	•	•
Belt loadlimiter	•	•	•
Knee airbag	0	×	_
SIDE CRASH PROTECTION			
Side head airbag	•	•	•
Side chest airbag	•	•	0
Side pelvis airbag	•	•	0

	Driver	Passenger	Rear
CHILD PROTECTION			
Isofix/i-Size		0	•
Integrated CRS	_	×	×
Airbag cut-off switch	_	•	_
Child presence detection			
SAFETY ASSIST			
Seat Belt Reminder	•	•	•



SAFETY EQUIPMENT (NEXT)

	Driver	Passenger	Rear
CHILD PROTECTION			
Isofix/i-Size	_	0	•
Integrated CRS	_	×	×
Airbag cut-off switch	_	•	_
SAFETY ASSIST			

Seat Belt Reminder

OTHER SYSTEMS	
Active Bonnet (Hood)	×
AEB Pedestrian	
AEB City	•
AEB Cyclist	•
AEB Inter-Urban	•
Speed Assistance System	•
Lane Assist System	•

Note: Other equipment may be available on the vehicle but was not considered in the test year.

Fitted to the vehicle as standard	Fitted to the vehicle as part of the safety pack
I FILLED LO LITE VEHICLE AS STATIDATO	I I FILLED LO LITE VEHICLE AS DATE OF LITE SAFELY DACK

O Not fitted to the test vehicle but available as option or as part of the safety pack X Not available — Not applicable







Total 37.1 Pts / 97%

















Total 37.1 Pts / 97%



AEB City 4.0 / 4 Pts











Total 37.1 Pts / 97%

Comments

The passenger compartment of the Scala remained stable in the frontal offset test. Dummy readings indicated good protection of the knees and femurs of the driver and passenger. Škoda showed that a similar level of protection would be provided to occupants of different sizes and to those sitting in different positions. In the full-width rigid barrier test, protection of all critical body areas was good or adequate for both the driver and rear passenger. In both the side barrier and the more severe side pole tests, protection of all critical body areas was good and the car scored full points in both of these tests. Tests on the front seats and head restraints demonstrated good protection against whiplash injuries in the event of a rear-end collision. A geometric assessment of the rear seats also indicated good whiplash protection. The standard-fit autonomous emergency braking (AEB) system performed well in tests of its functionality at the low speeds at which many whiplash injuries occur.



Total 42.9 Pts / 87%

GOOD ADEQUATE MARGINAL WEAK POOR

Crash Test Performance based on 6 & 10 year old children

23.9 / 24 Pts





Restraint for 6 year old child: *Britax Römer KidFix XP II* Restraint for 10 year old child: *Booster Cushion*

Safety Features 7.0 / 13 Pts

	Front Passenger	2nd row outboard	2nd row center
Isofix	0	•	×
i-Size	0	•	×
Integrated CRS	×	×	×

Fitted to test car as standard

O Not on test car but available as option

🗶 Not available



CRS Installation Check 12.0 / 12 Pts



i-Size CRS









ISOFIX CRS



Britax Römer KidFix XP (ISOFIX)









Total 42.9 Pts / 87%

Universal Belted CRS







Britax Römer KidFix XP (Belt)



Comments

In the frontal test, protection of all critical body areas was good for both dummies, apart from the neck of the 10 year dummy, protection of which was adequate. In the side barrier impact, all critical body areas were well protected for both dummies and the Scala scored maximum points in this part of the assessment. The front passenger airbag can be disabled to allow a rearward-facing child restraint to be used in that seating position. Clear information is provided to the driver regarding the status of the airbag and the system was rewarded. All of the restraint types for which the Scala is designed could be properly installed and accommodated in the car.



Total 42.9 Pts / 87%

		Seat Position		
	Front	Front 2nd row		
	PASSENGER	LEFT	CENTER	RIGHT
Maxi Cosi 2way Pearl & 2wayFix (rearward) (iSize)	•		_	•
Maxi Cosi 2way Pearl & 2wayFix (forward) (iSize)	•	•	_	•
BeSafe iZi Kid X2 i-Size (iSize)	•	•	_	•
BeSafe iZi Flex FIX i-Size (iSize)	•	•	_	•
Maxi Cosi Cabriofix & FamilyFix (ISOFIX)	•	•	_	•
BeSafe iZ Kid X4 ISOfix (ISOFIX)	•	•	_	•
Britax Römer Duo Plus (ISOFIX)	•	•	_	•
Britax Römer KidFix XP (ISOFIX)	•	•	_	•
Maxi Cosi Cabriofix (Belt)	•	•	•	•
Maxi Cosi Cabriofix & EasyBase2 (Belt)	•	•	×	•
Britax Römer King II LS (Belt)	•	•	•	•
Britax Römer KidFix XP (Belt)	•	•	•	•

Easy



Difficult



Safety critical



★ Not allowed



Comments

In the frontal test, protection of all critical body areas was good for both dummies, apart from the neck of the 10 year dummy, protection of which was adequate. In the side barrier impact, all critical body areas were well protected for both dummies and the Scala scored maximum points in this part of the assessment. The front passenger airbag can be disabled to allow a rearward-facing child restraint to be used in that seating position. Clear information is provided to the driver regarding the status of the airbag and the system was rewarded. All of the restraint types for which the Scala is designed could be properly installed and accommodated in the car.





Total 39.2 Pts / 81%

GOOD	ADEQUATE	MARGINAL	WEAK	POOR	

VRU Impact Protection		28.0 / 36 Pts
	Head Impact	16.4 Pts
	Pelvis Impact	5.6 Pts
	Leg Impact	6.0 Pts

Vulnerable Road Users	11.1 / 12 Pts
System Name	Front Assist
Туре	Auto-Brake with Forward Collision Warning
Operational From	10 km/h
Operational From	10 km/h

Comments

The bonnet provided predominantly good or adequate protection to the head of a struck pedestrian, with some poor results recorded on the stiff windscreen pillars. The bumper provided good protection to pedestrians' legs and protection of the pelvis was also generally good. The Scala's AEB system can detect vulnerable road users like pedestrians and cyclists, as well as other vehicles. In tests, the system's response to both was good, with collisions avoided or mitigated in most cases.



VULNERABLE ROAD USERS

Total 39.2 Pts / 81%

AEB Pedestrian

Day time

Adult crossing the road



Child running from behind parked vehicles



Adult along the roadside




Night time

Adult crossing the road



Adult along the roadside



AEB Cyclist


Approaching a crossing cyclist



Cyclist along the roadside







ADEQUATE

Human Machine Interface





Total 10.0 Pts / 76%

AEB Inter-Urban 2.7 / 3 Pts


System Name	Front Assist
Туре	Autonomous Emergency Braking and Forward Collision Warning
Operational From	4 km/h
Additional Information	Supplementary warning

Comments

The Scala has a seatbelt reminder system for the front and rear seats. The AEB system performed well in tests of its response to other vehicles at highway speeds. A driver-set speed limiter helps the driver not to over-speed while a lane support system helps prevent inadvertent drifting out of lane, as well as intervening more aggressively in some critical situations.

Autobrake function only


Approaching a slower moving car




Approaching a slower moving car



Approaching a slower moving car



Approaching a braking car






SAFETY ASSIST


Total 10.0 Pts / 76%

Driver reacts to warning


Approaching a stationary car




Approaching a stationary car




Approaching a slower moving car




Approaching a braking car




Approaching a stationary car



Approaching a slower moving car



Approaching a slower moving car





RATING VALIDITY

Variants of Model Range

Body Type	Engine & Transmission	Drivetrain	Rating Applies	
			LHD	RHD
5 door hatchback	1.0 TSI* (70kW and 85kW)	4 x 2	✓	✓
5 door hatchback	1.5 TSI (110kW)	4 x 2	✓	✓
5 door hatchback	1.6 TDI (85kW)	4 x 2	✓	✓
5 door hatchback	1.0 CNG (66kW)	4 x 2	✓	✓

^{*} Tested variant

Annual Reviews and Facelifts

Date	Event	Outcome	
July 2019	Rating Published	2019 ★ 🖈 🛧 ★	✓
July 2020	Annual Review	2019 🖈 🖈 🖈 ★	✓
July 2021	Annual Review	2019 ★ 🛧 🛧 ★	✓
September 2022	Annual Review	2019 🗙 🛧 🛧 ★	✓
September 2023	Facelift Review	2019 🖈 🖈 🛧 ★	✓