



Opel/Vauxhall Crossland X
Standard Safety Equipment

2017



Adult Occupant



85%

Child Occupant



84%

VRU Impact Protection



62%

Safety Assist



57%

SPECIFICATION

Tested Model	Opel/Vauxhall Crossland X 1.2 'Edition', LHD
Body Type	- 5 door SUV
Year Of Publication	2017
Kerb Weight	1163kg
VIN From Which Rating Applies	- all Crossland X
Class	Small MPV

Rating Expired

SAFETY EQUIPMENT

	Driver	Passenger	Rear
FRONTAL CRASH PROTECTION			
Frontal airbag	●	●	✘
Belt pretensioner	●	●	●
Belt loadlimiter	●	●	●
Knee airbag	✘	✘	✘
SIDE CRASH PROTECTION			
Side head airbag	●	●	●
Side chest airbag	●	●	✘
Side pelvis airbag	●	●	✘
	Driver	Passenger	Rear
CHILD PROTECTION			
Isofix/i-Size	—	✘	●
Integrated CRS	—	✘	✘
Airbag cut-off switch	—	●	—
SAFETY ASSIST			
Seat Belt Reminder	●	●	●

SAFETY EQUIPMENT (NEXT)

	Driver	Passenger	Rear
CHILD PROTECTION			
Isofix/i-Size	—	✗	●
Integrated CRS	—	✗	✗
Airbag cut-off switch	—	●	—
SAFETY ASSIST			
Seat Belt Reminder	●	●	●

OTHER SYSTEMS	
Active Bonnet (Hood)	✗
AEB Pedestrian	○
AEB City	○
AEB Inter-Urban	○
Speed Assistance System	●
Lane Assist System	●

Note: Other equipment may be available on the vehicle but was not considered in the test year.

- Fitted to the vehicle as standard ○ Fitted to the vehicle as part of the safety pack
- Not fitted to the test vehicle but available as option or as part of the safety pack ✗ Not available — Not applicable

ADULT OCCUPANT

Total 32.6 Pts / 85%

■ GOOD
 ■ ADEQUATE
 ■ MARGINAL
 ■ WEAK
 ■ POOR

Frontal Offset Deformable Barrier 7.6 Pts

Passenger Driver

Frontal Full Width 7.4 Pts

Rear Passenger Driver

Whiplash Rear Impact 1.6 Pts

Front seat Rear seat

Lateral Impact 16.0 Pts

Car Pole

AEB City 0.0

Performance:

 ADULT OCCUPANT

Total 32.6 Pts / 85%



 ADULT OCCUPANT

Total 32.6 Pts / 85%

Comments

The passenger compartment of the Crossland X remained stable in the frontal offset test. Dummy readings indicated good protection of the knees and femurs of both driver and passenger. Opel showed that a similar level of protection would be provided to occupants of different sizes and to those sat in different positions. In the full-width rigid-barrier test, protection of the chest of the rear passenger was rated as marginal, based on dummy readings of compression but, otherwise, protection of both dummies was good or adequate. In both the side barrier test and the more severe side pole impact, protection of all critical body areas was good and the car scored maximum points. Tests on the front seats and head restraints demonstrated good protection against whiplash injury in the event of a rear-end collision. However, the rear head restraints could not be adjusted high enough and whiplash protection here was rated as poor. An autonomous emergency braking system is available as an option but, as it is an option, it is not included in this assessment.



CHILD OCCUPANT

Total 41.2 Pts / 84%

■ GOOD
 ■ ADEQUATE
 ■ MARGINAL
 ■ WEAK
 ■ POOR

Crash Test Performance based on 6 & 10 year old children

23.9 Pts



Restraint for 6 year old child: *Kid Fix XP*
 Restraint for 10 year old child: *Graco Junior*

Safety Features

6.0 Pts

	Front Passenger	2nd row outboard	2nd row center
Isofix	✘	●	✘
i-Size	✘	●	✘
Integrated CRS	✘	✘	✘

● Fitted to test car as standard
 ○ Not on test car but available as option
 ✘ Not available

CRS Installation Check

11.3 Pts

- Install without problem
- Install with care
- Safety critical problem
- ✗ Installation not allowed

■ i-Size CRS



■ ISOFIX CRS



CHILD OCCUPANT

Total 41.2 Pts / 84%

Universal Belted CRS

Maxi Cosi Cabriofix (Belt)



Maxi Cosi Cabriofix & EasyBase2 (Belt)



Britax Römer King II LS (Belt)



Britax Römer KidFix XP (Belt)


Comments

In the frontal offset test protection of both the 6 year and the 10 year dummies was good or adequate for all critical parts of the body. In the side barrier test protection was good and maximum points were scored. The front passenger airbag can be disabled to allow a rearward-facing child restraint to be used in that seating position. Clear information is provided to the driver regarding the status of the airbag and the system was rewarded. The Crossland X is not approved for Universal belted child restraints in the rear centre position but, otherwise, restraints could be properly installed and accommodated.

CHILD OCCUPANT

Total 41.2 Pts / 84%

	Seat Position			
	Front	2nd row		
	PASSENGER	LEFT	CENTER	RIGHT
Maxi Cosi 2way Pearl & 2wayFix (rearward) (iSize)	—	●	—	●
Maxi Cosi 2way Pearl & 2wayFix (forward) (iSize)	—	●	—	●
BeSafe iZi Kid X2 i-Size (iSize)	—	●	—	●
Maxi Cosi Cabriofix & FamilyFix (ISOFIX)	—	●	—	●
BeSafe iZi Kid X4 ISOfix (ISOFIX)	—	●	—	●
Britax Römer Duo Plus (ISOFIX)	—	●	—	●
Britax Römer KidFix XP (ISOFIX)	—	●	—	●
Maxi Cosi Cabriofix (Belt)	●	●	●	●
Maxi Cosi Cabriofix & EasyBase2 (Belt)	●	●	✘	●
Britax Römer King II LS (Belt)	●	●	●	●
Britax Römer KidFix XP (Belt)	●	●	●	●

● Easy ● Difficult ● Safety critical ✘ Not allowed — Not available


Comments

In the frontal offset test protection of both the 6 year and the 10 year dummies was good or adequate for all critical parts of the body. In the side barrier test protection was good and maximum points were scored. The front passenger airbag can be disabled to allow a rearward-facing child restraint to be used in that seating position. Clear information is provided to the driver regarding the status of the airbag and the system was rewarded. The Crossland X is not approved for Universal belted child restraints in the rear centre position but, otherwise, restraints could be properly installed and accommodated.

 VRU IMPACT PROTECTION

Total 26.1 Pts / 62%

■ GOOD
 ■ ADEQUATE
 ■ MARGINAL
 ■ WEAK
 ■ POOR

VRU Impact Protection	26.2 Pts						
	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;">Head Impact</td> <td style="text-align: right; padding: 5px;">16.0 Pts</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;">Pelvis Impact</td> <td style="text-align: right; padding: 5px;">4.2 Pts</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;">Leg Impact</td> <td style="text-align: right; padding: 5px;">6.0 Pts</td> </tr> </table>	Head Impact	16.0 Pts	Pelvis Impact	4.2 Pts	Leg Impact	6.0 Pts
Head Impact	16.0 Pts						
Pelvis Impact	4.2 Pts						
Leg Impact	6.0 Pts						

AEB Pedestrian	0.0 Pts
----------------	---------

Comments

The bonnet provided protection that was largely good or adequate to the head of a struck pedestrian, with poor results being recorded along the base of the windscreen and on the stiff windscreen pillars. The bumper scored maximum points in tests of its protection of pedestrians' legs. Protection of the pelvis was also mostly good. The optional autonomous emergency braking system is capable of detecting pedestrians but is not included in this assessment.

SAFETY ASSIST

Total 7.0 Pts / 57%

GOOD
 ADEQUATE
 MARGINAL
 WEAK
 POOR

Speed Assistance 2.3 Pts

System Name	Cruise control with speed limiter and intelligent speed adaption
Speed Limit Information Function	Camera based
Warning Function	System advised
Speed Limitation Function	System advised (accurate to 5km/h)

Seatbelt Reminder 3.0 Pts

Applies To	All seats		
	Driver Seat	Front Passenger(s)	Rear Passenger(s)
Warning			
Visual	●	●	●
Audible	●	●	●

● Pass
 ● Fail
 — Not available

Lane Support 1.7 Pts

System Name	Lane Departure Warning
Type	Lane Departure Warning
Operational From	60 km/h
Warning	Audible
PERFORMANCE	
LDW Confirmation Test	Pass

AEB Inter-Urban 0 Pts



SAFETY ASSIST

Total 7.0 Pts / 57%

Comments

A seatbelt reminder is fitted as standard for the front and rear seats. The speed assistance system recognises local speed limits and allows the driver to easily set the limiter appropriately. A lane departure warning system is also standard. An autonomous emergency braking system is available as an option but, as it is an option, it is not included in this assessment.

RATING VALIDITY

Variants of Model Range

Annual Reviews and Facelifts

Date	Event	Outcome
November 2017	Rating Published	2017 ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ✓
November 2018	Annual Review	2017 ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ✓
November 2019	Annual Review	2017 ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ✓
November 2020	Facelift and Annual Review	2017 ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ✓