



Toyota Aygo
Standard Safety Equipment

2017



Adult Occupant



74%

Child Occupant



63%

VRU Impact Protection



64%

Safety Assist



25%

SPECIFICATION

Tested Model	Toyota Aygo 1.0 x-play, LHD
Body Type	- 5 door hatchback
Year Of Publication	2017
Kerb Weight	910kg
VIN From Which Rating Applies	- JTDBGNEC60N317420
Class	Supermini

✗ Rating Expired

SAFETY EQUIPMENT

	Driver	Passenger	Rear
FRONTAL CRASH PROTECTION			
Frontal airbag	●	●	✘
Belt pretensioner	●	●	✘
Belt loadlimiter	●	●	✘
Knee airbag	✘	✘	✘
SIDE CRASH PROTECTION			
Side head airbag	●	●	●
Side chest airbag	●	●	✘
Side pelvis airbag	●	●	✘
	Driver	Passenger	Rear
CHILD PROTECTION			
Isofix/i-Size	—	✘	●
Integrated CRS	—	✘	✘
Airbag cut-off switch	—	●	—
SAFETY ASSIST			
Seat Belt Reminder	●	●	●

SAFETY EQUIPMENT (NEXT)

	Driver	Passenger	Rear
CHILD PROTECTION			
Isofix/i-Size	—	✘	●
Integrated CRS	—	✘	✘
Airbag cut-off switch	—	●	—
SAFETY ASSIST			
Seat Belt Reminder	●	●	●

OTHER SYSTEMS	
Active Bonnet (Hood)	✘
AEB Pedestrian	✘
AEB City	○
AEB Inter-Urban	○
Speed Assistance System	○
Lane Assist System	○

Note: Other equipment may be available on the vehicle but was not considered in the test year.


- Fitted to the vehicle as standard
 ○ Fitted to the vehicle as part of the safety pack
○ Not fitted to the test vehicle but available as option or as part of the safety pack
 ✘ Not available
 — Not applicable

ADULT OCCUPANT

Total 28.3 Pts / 74%

■ GOOD
 ■ ADEQUATE
 ■ MARGINAL
 ■ WEAK
 ■ POOR

Frontal Offset Deformable Barrier 6.3 Pts




Passenger Driver

Frontal Full Width 3.8 Pts




Rear Passenger Driver

Whiplash Rear Impact 2.2 Pts



Front seat Rear seat

Lateral Impact 16.0 Pts



Car Pole

AEB City 0.0

Performance:



ADULT OCCUPANT

Total 28.3 Pts / 74%



GOOD



ADEQUATE



MARGINAL



WEAK



POOR

 ADULT OCCUPANT

Total 28.3 Pts / 74%

Comments

The passenger compartment of the Aygo remained stable in the frontal offset test. Although dummy readings were good for the knees and femurs of both the driver and passenger, structures in the dashboard were thought to present a risk to occupants of different sizes and to those sat in different positions, so the protection provided to this part of the body was downgraded to marginal. In the full-width rigid barrier test, protection of the head, neck and chest of the rear passenger dummy was rated as poor and no points were scored for this dummy. Protection of the driver dummy in the same test was good or adequate. In both the side barrier impact and the more severe side pole test, protection of all critical parts of the body was good, and the Aygo scored maximum points. Tests on the front seats and head restraints demonstrated good whiplash protection in the event of a rear-end collision. A geometric assessment of the rear seats indicated marginal whiplash protection. The Aygo has an autonomous emergency braking system as part of an option pack, an assessment of which is not included in this rating.



CHILD OCCUPANT

Total 31.2 Pts / 63%

GOOD
 ADEQUATE
 MARGINAL
 WEAK
 POOR

Crash Test Performance based on 6 & 10 year old children

13.2 Pts



Restraint for 6 year old child: *Britax Römer KidFix XP*
 Restraint for 10 year old child: *Graco Maxi Junior*

Safety Features

6.0 Pts

	Front Passenger	2nd row outboard	2nd row center
Isofix	✘	●	✘
i-Size	✘	●	✘
Integrated CRS	✘	✘	✘

Fitted to test car as standard
 Not on test car but available as option
 ✘ Not available

CRS Installation Check

12.0 Pts

● Install without problem ● Install with care ● Safety critical problem ✗ Installation not allowed

■ i-Size CRS



■ ISOFIX CRS



CHILD OCCUPANT

Total 31.2 Pts / 63%

- Universal Belted CRS

Maxi Cosi Cabriofix (Belt)



Maxi Cosi Cabriofix & EasyBase2 (Belt)



Britax Römer King II LS (Belt)



Britax Römer KidFix XP (Belt)



Comments

In the offset frontal test, protection of the neck of the 10 year dummy was rated as weak, as was that of the chest of the 6 year dummy, based on data recorded by the dummies. In the side barrier test, protection of the chest of the 10 year dummy was poor, based on dummy readings of chest deceleration. The front passenger airbag can be disabled to allow a rearward-facing child restraint to be used in that seating position. Clear information is provided to the driver regarding the status of the airbag and the system was rewarded. Cars from VIN JTDKGN60N317420 have revised markings for the top-tethers. All restraint types for which the Aygo is designed could be properly installed and accommodated in the car.

CHILD OCCUPANT

Total 31.2 Pts / 63%

	Seat Position		
	Front	2nd row	
	PASSENGER	LEFT	RIGHT
Maxi Cosi 2way Pearl & 2wayFix (rearward) (iSize)	—	●	●
Maxi Cosi 2way Pearl & 2wayFix (forward) (iSize)	—	●	●
BeSafe iZi Kid X2 i-Size (iSize)	—	●	●
Maxi Cosi Cabriofix & FamilyFix (ISOFIX)	—	●	●
BeSafe iZi Kid X4 ISOfix (ISOFIX)	—	✘	✘
Britax Römer Duo Plus (ISOFIX)	—	●	●
Britax Römer KidFix XP (ISOFIX)	—	●	●
Maxi Cosi Cabriofix (Belt)	●	●	●
Maxi Cosi Cabriofix & EasyBase2 (Belt)	●	●	●
Britax Römer King II LS (Belt)	●	●	●
Britax Römer KidFix XP (Belt)	●	●	●

● Easy ● Difficult ● Safety critical ✘ Not allowed — Not available


Comments

In the offset frontal test, protection of the neck of the 10 year dummy was rated as weak, as was that of the chest of the 6 year dummy, based on data recorded by the dummies. In the side barrier test, protection of the chest of the 10 year dummy was poor, based on dummy readings of chest deceleration. The front passenger airbag can be disabled to allow a rearward-facing child restraint to be used in that seating position. Clear information is provided to the driver regarding the status of the airbag and the system was rewarded. Cars from VIN JTDKGN317420 have revised markings for the top-tethers. All restraint types for which the Aygo is designed could be properly installed and accommodated in the car.

 VRU IMPACT PROTECTION

Total 27.0 Pts / 64%

■ GOOD
 ■ ADEQUATE
 ■ MARGINAL
 ■ WEAK
 ■ POOR

VRU Impact Protection	27.0 Pts						
	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;">Head Impact</td> <td style="text-align: right; padding: 5px;">16.3 Pts</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;">Pelvis Impact</td> <td style="text-align: right; padding: 5px;">4.7 Pts</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;">Leg Impact</td> <td style="text-align: right; padding: 5px;">6.0 Pts</td> </tr> </table>	Head Impact	16.3 Pts	Pelvis Impact	4.7 Pts	Leg Impact	6.0 Pts
Head Impact	16.3 Pts						
Pelvis Impact	4.7 Pts						
Leg Impact	6.0 Pts						

AEB Pedestrian	0.0 Pts
----------------	---------

Comments

The protection provided to the head of a struck pedestrian was predominantly adequate or marginal on the bonnet surface, with poor results recorded along the base of the windscreen and on the stiff windscreen pillars. The protection provided to the pelvis was good in some areas and marginal in others, while the bumper provided good protection to pedestrians' legs. The autonomous emergency braking system works only for other cars and does not detect pedestrians.

 SAFETY ASSIST

Total 3.0 Pts / 25%

GOOD
 ADEQUATE
 MARGINAL
 WEAK
 POOR

Speed Assistance 0 Pts

Seatbelt Reminder 3.0 Pts

Applies To	All seats		
	Driver Seat	Front Passenger(s)	Rear Passenger(s)
Warning			
Visual	●	●	●
Audible	●	●	●

● Pass
 ● Fail
 — Not available

Lane Support 0 Pts

AEB Inter-Urban 0 Pts



SAFETY ASSIST

Total 3.0 Pts / 25%

Comments

A seatbelt reminder is standard for the front and rear seats. An autonomous emergency braking system and a lane departure warning system are part of the optional safety pack and are not assessed as part of this rating.

RATING VALIDITY

Variants of Model Range

Body Type	Engine	Drivetrain	Rating Applies	
			LHD	RHD
5 door hatchback	1.0 petrol*	4 x 2	✓	✓

* Tested variant

Annual Reviews and Facelifts

Date	Event	Outcome
December 2017	Rating Published	2017 ★★☆☆☆ ✓