



Mercedes-Benz G-Class

Standard Safety Equipment

2019





Adult Occupant



90%

Child Occupant



83%

Vulnerable Road Users



78%



Safety Assist

72%

SPECIFICATION

Tested Model	Mercedes-Benz G350d, LHD
Body Type	- 5 door SUV
Year Of Publication	2019
Kerb Weight	2451kg
VIN From Which Rating Applies	- all G-Class
Class	Large SUV



SAFETY EQUIPMENT

	Driver	Passenger	Rear
FRONTAL CRASH PROTECTION			
Frontal airbag	•	•	×
Belt pretensioner	•	•	
Belt loadlimiter	•	•	•
Knee airbag	•	•	×
SIDE CRASH PROTECTION			
Side head airbag	•	•	
Side chest airbag	•	•	0
Side pelvis airbag	•	•	×

	Driver	Passenger	Rear
CHILD PROTECTION			
Isofix/i-Size	_	×	•
Integrated CRS		×	×
Airbag cut-off switch		×	_
SAFETY ASSIST			
Seat Belt Reminder	•		•



SAFETY EQUIPMENT (NEXT)

	Driver	Passenger	Rear
CHILD PROTECTION			
Isofix/i-Size		×	•
Integrated CRS	_	×	×
Airbag cut-off switch	_	×	_
SAFETY ASSIST			
Seat Belt Reminder	•		•

OTHER SYSTEMS	
Active Bonnet (Hood)	×
AEB Pedestrian	•
AEB City	•
AEB Cyclist	•
AEB Inter-Urban	•
Speed Assistance System	•
Lane Assist System	•

Note: Other equipment may be available on the vehicle but was not considered in the test year.

Fitted to the vehicle as standard	Fitted to the vehicle as part of the safety pack
I FILLED LO LITE VEHICLE AS STATIDATO	I I FILLED LO LITE VEHICLE AS DATE OF LITE SAFELY DACK

O Not fitted to the test vehicle but available as option or as part of the safety pack X Not available — Not applicable







Total 34.6 Pts / 90%
















Total 34.6 Pts / 90%



AEB City 4.0 / 4 Pts







Version 100724





Total 34.6 Pts / 90%

Comments

The passenger compartment of the G-Class remained stable in the frontal offset test. Dummy readings indicated good protection of the knees and femurs of the driver and passenger. Mercedes-Benz showed that a similar level of protection would be provided to occupants of different sizes and to those sitting in different positions. Chest compression in the driver dummy indicated weak protection of this body region. In the full-width rigid barrier test, chest protection was marginal for the driver's chest but was good for all other critical body areas. For the rear dummy, dummy readings of chest compression indicated marginal protection. However, a high load in the shoulder belt pointed to a risk of injury which the dummy is not able to measure, and protection of the chest was penalised and downrated to 'weak'. In both the side barrier test and the side pole impact, protection of all critical body areas was good and the G-Class scored maximum points. Tests on the front seats and head restraints demonstrated good protection against whiplash injury in the event of a rear-end collision. A geometric assessment of the rear seats indicated marginal whiplash protection. The standard-fit autonomous emergency braking (AEB) system performed well in tests at the low speeds, typical of city driving, at which many whiplash injuries are caused.



Total 40.8 Pts / 83%

GOOD ADEQUATE MARGINAL WEAK POOR

Crash Test Performance based on 6 & 10 year old children

19.8 / 24 Pts





Restraint for 6 year old child: *Britax Römer KidFix XP* Restraint for 10 year old child: *Booster Cushion*

Safety Features 9.0 / 13 Pts

	Front Passenger	2nd row outboard	2nd row center
Isofix	×	•	×
i-Size	×	•	×
Integrated CRS	×	×	×

Fitted to test car as standard

O Not on test car but available as option

🗶 Not available



CRS Installation Check 12.0 / 12 Pts



i-Size CRS









ISOFIX CRS













Total 40.8 Pts / 83%

Universal Belted CRS











Comments

In the frontal offset test, dummy readings of neck tension in the 10-year dummy indicated poor protection of this body area. Otherwise, protection was good. For the 6-year dummy, protection of the neck was marginal. In the side barrier test, protection of both child dummies was good. The front passenger airbag can be disabled to allow a rearward-facing child restraint to be used in this seating position. Clear information is provided to the driver regarding the status of the airbag and the system was rewarded. All of the restraint types for which the G-Class is designed could be properly installed and accommodated.



Total 40.8 Pts / 83%

		Seat Position		
	Front	Front 2nd row		
	PASSENGER	LEFT	CENTER	RIGHT
Maxi Cosi 2way Pearl & 2wayFix (rearward) (iSize)	_	•	_	•
Maxi Cosi 2way Pearl & 2wayFix (forward) (iSize)	_	•	_	•
BeSafe iZi Kid X2 i-Size (iSize)	_	•	<u>—</u>	•
BeSafe iZ Flex FIXi-Size (iSize)	_	•	<u>—</u>	•
Maxi Cosi Cabriofix & FamilyFix (ISOFIX)	_	•	<u>—</u>	•
BeSafe iZi Kid X4 ISOfix (ISOFIX)	_	•	_	•
Britax Römer Duo Plus (ISOFIX)	_	•	_	•
Britax Römer KidFix XP (ISOFIX)	_	•	_	•
Maxi Cosi Cabriofix (Belt)	•	•	•	•
Maxi Cosi Cabriofix & EasyBase2 (Belt)	•	•	×	•
Britax Römer King II LS (Belt)	•	•	•	•
Britax Römer KidFix XP (Belt)	•	•	•	•

Easy



Difficult



Safety critical



★ Not allowed



Comments

In the frontal offset test, dummy readings of neck tension in the 10-year dummy indicated poor protection of this body area. Otherwise, protection was good. For the 6-year dummy, protection of the neck was marginal. In the side barrier test, protection of both child dummies was good. The front passenger airbag can be disabled to allow a rearward-facing child restraint to be used in this seating position. Clear information is provided to the driver regarding the status of the airbag and the system was rewarded. All of the restraint types for which the G-Class is designed could be properly installed and accommodated.





Total 37.5 Pts / 78%

5.9 Pts

6.0 Pts

GOOD ADEQUATE	MARGINAL	WEAK	POOR
VRU Impact Protection			27.0 / 36 Pts
	Head I	mpact	15.1 Pts

Pelvis Impact

Leg Impact

10.4 / 12 Pts
Active Brake Assist
Auto-Brake with Forward Collision Warning
10 km/h

Comments

The protection provided by the bonnet to the head of a struck pedestrian was marginal or adequate over most of its surface, with areas of good and poor performance. Protection of pedestrian's legs was good or adequate, as was the protection offered to the pelvis. The AEB system can detect pedestrians and cyclists, as well as other vehicles. The system performed well when tested for its reaction to vulnerable road users such as these.



VULNERABLE ROAD USERS

Total 37.5 Pts / 78%

AEB Pedestrian

Day time

Adult crossing the road



Child running from behind parked vehicles



Adult along the roadside




Night time

Adult crossing the road



Adult along the roadside



AEB Cyclist


Approaching a crossing cyclist



Cyclist along the roadside







MARGINAL

ADEQUATE

Lane Keep Assist

Human Machine Interface





Total 9.4 Pts / 72%

AEB Inter-Urban 2.5 / 3 Pts


System Name	Active Brake Assist
Туре	Autonomous Emergency Braking and Forward Collision Warning
Operational From	7 km/h
Additional Information	Restraint activation

Comments

The AEB system gave generally good results in tests of its functionality at highway speeds. The car has a lane assistance system which helps prevent inadvertent drifting out of lane but can also intervene in some more critical situations. The speed control system uses digital mapping combined with a camera to identify what the local speed limit is and to inform the driver, who can then set the limiter to the appropriate speed. A seatbelt reminder is standard for front and rear seats.

Autobrake function only


Approaching a slower moving car




Approaching a slower moving car



Approaching a slower moving car



Approaching a braking car







Total 9.4 Pts / 72%

Driver reacts to warning


Approaching a stationary car




Approaching a stationary car




Approaching a slower moving car




Approaching a braking car




Approaching a stationary car



Approaching a slower moving car



Approaching a slower moving car





RATING VALIDITY

Variants of Model Range

Body Type	Engine	Model Name/Code	Drivetrain	Rating Applies	
				LHD	RHD
5 door SUV	3.0 diesel	G350d*	4 x 4	✓	✓
5 door SUV	4.0 petrol	G500	4 x 4	✓	✓
5 door SUV	4.0 petrol	G63	4 x 4	✓	✓
5 door SUV	Electric	G580 with EQ Technology **	4 x 4	✓	✓

^{*} Tested variant

Annual Reviews and Facelifts

Date	Event	Outcome	
February 2019	Rating Published	2019 🛨 🛨 🛨 🛨	✓
February 2020	Annual Review	2019 🖈 🖈 🖈 ★	✓
February 2021	Annual Review	2019 🗙 🗙 🛧 ★	✓
February 2022	Annual Review	2019 ★ 🛧 🛧 ★	✓
February 2023	Annual Review	2019 🗙 🛧 🛧 ★	✓
July 2024	Addition of electric variant	2019 🗙 🖈 🛧 ★	✓

^{**} Additional tests 2024