



ZEEKR X Standard Safety Equipment

2024









91%





Safety Assist

90%

Vulnerable Road Users



84%



83%

SPECIFICATION

| Tested Model | ZEEKR X Long Range RWD, LHD |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Body Type | - 5 door SUV |
| Year Of Publication | 2024 |
| Kerb Weight | 1930kg |
| VIN From Which Rating Applies | - all ZEEKR X |
| Class | Small SUV |



SAFETY EQUIPMENT

| | Driver | Passenger | Rear |
|--------------------------|--------|-----------|------|
| FRONTAL CRASH PROTECTION | | | |
| Frontal airbag | | • | _ |
| Belt pretensioner | | • | • |
| Belt loadlimiter | • | • | • |
| Knee airbag | × | × | _ |
| LATERAL CRASH PROTECTION | | | |
| Side head airbag | | • | |
| Side chest airbag | • | • | • |
| Side pelvis airbag | × | * | × |
| Centre Airbag | • | × | _ |

| | Driver | Passenger | Rear |
|--------------------------|--------|-----------|------|
| CHILD PROTECTION | | | |
| Isofix/i-Size | _ | • | • |
| Integrated CRS | _ | × | × |
| Airbag cut-off switch | _ | • | _ |
| Child presence detection | _ | × | • |
| SAFETY ASSIST | | | |
| Seat Belt Reminder | • | • | • |



SAFETY EQUIPMENT (NEXT)

| OTHER SYSTEMS | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Active Bonnet | × |
| AEB Vulnerable Road Users | |
| AEB Pedestrian - Reverse | |
| Cyclist Dooring Prevention | • |
| AEB Motorcyclist | • |
| AEB Car-to-Car | • |
| Speed Assistance | |
| Lane Assist System | • |
| Fatigue / Distraction Detection | • |

Note: Other equipment may be available on the vehicle but was not considered in the test year.

| - | Titted to the vehicle as standard | Titted to the vehicle as east of the safety and |
|---|-----------------------------------|--|
| | Fitted to the vehicle as standard | Fitted to the vehicle as part of the safety pack |
| | | |


O Not fitted to the test vehicle but available as option or as part of the safety pack 💢 Not available — Not applicable






Total 36.8 Pts / 91%











Total 36.8 Pts / 91%

| GOOD ADEQUATE | MARGINAL WEAK POOR |
|------------------------|--------------------------|
| Rescue and Extrication | 2.7 / 4 Pts |
| Rescue Sheet | Available, ISO compliant |
| Advanced eCall | Available |
| Multi Collision Brake | Available |
| Submergence Check | Compliant |

Comments

The passenger compartment of the ZEEKR X remained stable in the frontal offset test. Dummy numbers showed good protection of the knees and femurs of both the driver and passenger. ZEEKR showed that a similar level of protection would be provided to occupants of different sizes and to those sitting in different positions. Protection was good for all critical body areas of the passenger. Analysis of the deceleration of the impact trolley during the test, and of the deformable barrier after the test, revealed that the X would be a moderately benign impact partner in a frontal collision. In the full-width rigid barrier test, protection was good for all body areas of the driver and good or adequate for the rear passenger. The X scored full points in the side impact barrier test, with good protection for all critical body parts. In the more severe side pole impact, protection of the chest was rated as adequate and that of other critical areas as good. Control of excursion (the extent to which a body is thrown to the other side of the vehicle when it is hit from the far side) was adequate. The X has a centre airbag mounted on the driver's seat to mitigate against occupant to occupant injuries in such impacts. Dummy numbers were good in Euro NCAP's test, with equal protection to the front driver and passenger. Tests on the front seats and head restraints demonstrated good protection against whiplash injuries in the event of a rear-end collision. A geometric analysis of the rear seats also indicated good whiplash protection. The X has an advanced eCall system which alerts the emergency services in the event of a crash. The car also has a system which applies the brakes after an impact, to avoid secondary collisions. ZEEKR demonstrated that if the car entered water, the doors, if locked, could be opened within two minutes of power being lost and that electric windows would remain functional long enough to allow occupants to escape.




Total 44.5 Pts / 90%



Crash Test Performance based on 6 & 10 year old children

24.0 / 24 Pts





Restraint for 6 year old child: *Cybex Solution T iFix* Restraint for 10 year old child: *Graco Booster Basic*

Safety Features 8.5 / 13 Pts

| | Front Passenger | 2nd row outboard | 2nd row center |
|--------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| Isofix | • | | × |
| i-Size | • | | × |
| Integrated CRS | × | × | × |
| Top tether | • | • | × |
| Child Presence Detection | × | • | • |

Fitted to test car as standard

O Not on test car but available as option

🗶 Not available

CRS Installation Check 12.0 / 12 Pts

| نة i-Size | Seat Position | | | | | |
|------------|---------------|--------------------|------|--------|-------|--|
| | Front 2nd row | | | | | |
| | | ⊗*, ~ (2 | Left | center | Right | |
| E j | × | • | • | _ | • | |

Easy

Difficult

Safety critical





Airbag Ol

Rearward facing restraint installation not allowed

Airbag OFF



CHILD OCCUPANT

Total 44.5 Pts / 90%

| lsofix | Seat Position | | | | |
|--------|---------------|--------------------------|------|---------|-------|
| | Frc | ont | | 2nd row | |
| | | ⊗ *⁄ ₂ | Left | center | Right |
| | × | • | • | _ | • |
| | × | • | • | _ | • |
| E | × | • | • | _ | • |
| E | × | • | • | _ | • |
| | × | • | • | _ | • |
| | × | • | • | _ | • |

| Airbag ON | Rearward facing restraint installation not allowed | 💥 Airbag OFF |
|-----------|--|--------------|
| | | |

● Safety critical **X** Not allowed

| Seatbelt Attached | Seat Position | | | | |
|-------------------|---------------|--------|---------|--------|-------|
| | Fro | ont | 2nd row | | |
| | | ⊗ ~ | Left | center | Right |
| | × | • | • | • | • |
| | × | • | • | • | • |
| L | × | • | • | • | • |
| B | × | • | • | • | • |
| | × | • | • | • | • |
| | × | • | • | • | • |



Difficult

Easy

● Difficult ● Safety critical ★ Not allowed



Airbag ON Rearward facing restraint installation not allowed 2 Airbag OFF





Total 44.5 Pts / 90%

Comments

In both the frontal offset and side barrier tests, good protection was provided to all critical body areas for both child dummies, and the ZEEKR X scored maximum points in this part of the assessment. The front passenger airbag can be disabled to allow a rearward-facing child restraint to be used in that seating position. Clear information is provided to the driver regarding the status of the airbag and the system was rewarded. The ZEEKR X is equipped with a direct 'child presence detection' system, which issues a warning when it detects that a child or infant has been left in the car. All of the child restraint types for which the X is designed could be properly installed and accommodated in the car.



🚶 VULNERABLE ROAD USERS

Total 53.5 Pts / 84%

| GOOD | ADEQUATE | MARGINAL | WEAK | POOR | |
|------|----------|----------|------|------|--|

VRU Impact Protection

28.2 / 36 Pts



| Pedestrian & Cyclist Head | 12.9 Pts |
|---------------------------|----------|
| Pelvis | 2.6 Pts |
| Femur | 3.7 Pts |
| Knee & Tibia | 9.0 Pts |

VRU Impact Mitigation

25.4 / 27 Pts

| System Name | Collision Mitigation Support Front |
|--|---|
| Туре | Auto-Brake with Forward Collision Warning |
| Operational From | 4 km/h |
| PERFORMANCE PE | |

AEB Pedestrian

7.9 / 9 Pts

| Scenario | Day time | Night time |
|---|----------|------------|
| Car reversing into adult or child | | _ |
| Adult crossing a road into which a car is turning | | _ |
| Adult crossing the road | | |
| Child running from behind parked vehicles | | |
| Adult along the roadside | | |

— Currently not tested

AEB Cyclist

8.0 / 8 Pts

| Scenario | Day time |
|---|----------|
| Approaching cyclist crossing from behind parked parked vehicles | |
| Turning across path of an oncoming cyclist | |
| Approaching a crossing cyclist | |
| Approaching a cyclist along the roadside | |



🔥 VULNERABLE ROAD USERS

Total 53.5 Pts / 84%

1.0 / 1 Pts

2.5 / 3 Pts

| GOOD ADFOLIATE MARGINAL WEAK POOR | | | | | | |
|--|------|----------|----------|------|------|--|
| in the second se | GOOD | ADEQUATE | MARGINAL | WEAK | POOR | |

Cyclist Dooring Prevention

| Scenario | |
|---------------------------|--|
| Dooring a passing cyclist | sudden opening prevention, all side doors" |

AEB Motorcyclist 6.0 / 6 Pts

| Scenario | Autobrake function only | Driver reacts to warning |
|--|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Approaching a stationary motorcyclist | | |
| Approaching a braking motorcyclist | | |
| Turn across the path of an oncoming motorcyclist | | _ |


— Currently not tested

Lane Support Motorcyclist

| Scenario | Day time |
|---|----------|
| Changing lane across the path of an oncoming motorcyclist | |
| Changing lane across the path of an overtaking motorcyclist | |

Comments

Protection of the head of a struck pedestrian or cyclist was predominantly good or adequate, with poor results recorded only at the base of the windscreen and on the stiff windscreen pillars. Protection of the pelvis and femur was mixed but that of the knee and tibia was good across the whole width of the car. The autonomous emergency braking (AEB) system of the ZEEKR can respond to vulnerable road users as well as to other vehicles. In tests of its reaction to pedestrians, performance was good. The X scored maximum points for its performance when tested against a cyclist target, avoiding collision in all test scenarios, including 'dooring', where a door is suddenly opened in the path of a cyclist approaching from behind. The AEB system performed well in all tests of its response to motorcyclists and the lane support function also performed well in this regard.





Total 15.1 Pts / 83%

Lane Support 3.0 / 3 Pts

| System Name | Lane Keeping Assist |
|-------------------------|---------------------|
| Туре | LKA and ELK |
| Operational From | 60 km/h |
| PERFORMANCE | |
| Emergency Lane Keeping | GOOD |
| Lane Keep Assist | GOOD |
| Human Machine Interface | GOOD |

AEB Car-to-Car 7.2 / 9 Pts

| System Name | Collision Mitigation Support Front |
|------------------|--|
| Туре | Autonomous emergency braking and forward collision warning |
| Operational From | 4 km/h |
| Sensor Used | camera radar fusion |

| Scenario | Autobrake function only | Driver reacts to warning |
|--|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Approaching a car crossing a junction | | |
| Approaching a car head-on | | _ |
| Turning across the path of an oncoming car | | _ |
| Approaching a stationary car | | |
| Approaching a slower moving car | | _ |
| Approaching a braking car | | - |

— Currently not tested





Total 15.1 Pts / 83%

Comments

Overall, the autonomous emergency braking (AEB) system of the ZEEKR X performed well in tests of its reaction to other vehicles, although there was no performance in the head-on test scenarios. A seatbelt reminder system is fitted as standard to the front and rear seats. The car has a direct driver status monitoring system, and this scored well, detecting driver distraction as well as fatigue. The lane support system gently corrects the vehicle's path if it is drifting out of lane and also intervenes in some more critical situations. The speed assistance system identifies the local speed limit, and the driver can choose to allow the limiter to be set automatically by the system.



RATING VALIDITY

Variants of Model Range

Annual Reviews and Facelifts

| Date | Event | Outcome | |
|----------|------------------|--------------|---|
| May 2024 | Rating Published | 2024 🖈 🖈 🖈 🛧 | ✓ |