

Honda FR-V



RATING	SCORE	Front: 13	Side: 13	Seatbelt reminder: 1	Pole: 2
 ADULT OCCUPANT ★★☆☆☆	29				
 CHILD OCCUPANT ★★☆☆☆	27				
 PEDESTRIAN ★★★☆☆	20				

Adult occupant protection



Frontal impact driver



Frontal impact passenger



Side impact driver

- GOOD
- ADEQUATE
- MARGINAL
- WEAK
- POOR

Child restraints

18 month old Child Britax Roemer Duo Plus, forward facing

3 year old Child Britax Roemer Duo Plus, forward facing

Pedestrian protection

No image car front available

Safety equipment

Front seatbelt pretensioners	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Front seatbelt load limiters	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Driver frontal airbag	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Front passenger frontal airbag	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Side body airbags	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Side head airbags	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Driver knee airbag	<input type="checkbox"/>
ISOfix front	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
ISOfix rear	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Car details

Hand of drive	RHD
Tested model	Honda FR-V 2.0 SE
Body type	SMALL MPV
Year of publication	2005
Kerb weight	1457
VIN from which rating applies	Applies to all applies FR-Vs

Comments

The FR-V'S body proved to be extremely strong, protecting its adult occupants well. The restraint systems included dual-stage frontal airbags plus front belts with pre-tensioners and load limiters. An intelligent belt reminder is fitted for the driver. Although the children were contained in their restraints in both tests, the protection offered was quite poor. However, safeguards for pedestrians were judged to be good overall.

Front impact

The body suffered minimal deformation of its structure. The dual-stage frontal airbags, belt pre-tensioners and load limiters helped control and limit adult occupants' forward movement. They also kept impact loads on the driver's and front passenger's chests and legs low. However, testers found that hard structures located around the steering column and behind the fascia left the driver and passenger risking knee injuries.

Side impact

The car has impressive protection including seat-mounted thorax airbags and a head-protecting curtain. However, the chest load was penalised because forces were transferred in an unrealistic manner from the dummy's back to the seat, so reducing the measured loads on the chest instrumentation.

Child occupant

Permanent labels, written in five languages, warn against placing a child in a rear-facing restraint opposite an active airbag. These are fixed to both sides of the passenger's sun visor. The label also includes an instruction to set the centre front seat to the most rearward position when a forward facing child restraint is fitted at this location. The recommended restraints used for both children were forward-facing Britax Romer Duo Plus. These were installed using the car's ISOFIX anchorages and top tethers. But they provided mixed protection. High loads were recorded on the younger child's head in the frontal test and both children also experienced high chest loads. Even so, the restraints contained the children well.

Pedestrian

Protection was good. The bumper offered cushioning as did the areas of the car's front where an adult's and child's head might most likely strike. However, the bonnet's leading edge offered only a limited amount of protection.