






## Isuzu D-MAX/Rodeo

RATING	SCORE	Front: 1.9 Side: 15.3	Seatbelt reminder: 0
 <b>ADULT OCCUPANT</b> ★★☆☆☆☆	17		
 <b>CHILD OCCUPANT</b> ★★☆☆☆☆	22		
 <b>PEDESTRIAN</b> ★☆☆☆☆	2		

### Adult occupant protection



Frontal impact driver



Frontal impact passenger



Side impact driver



### Child restraints

<b>18 month old Child</b>	Recaro Start, forward facing
<b>3 year old Child</b>	Recaro Start, forward facing

### Pedestrian protection



Pedestrian protection



### Safety equipment

<b>Front seatbelt pretensioners</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>Front seatbelt load limiters</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Driver frontal airbag</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>Front passenger frontal airbag</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Side body airbags</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Side head airbags</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Driver knee airbag</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>

### Car details

<b>Tested model</b>	Isuzu D-MAX / Rodeo 2.5 diesel crew cab, LHD
<b>Body type</b>	4 door PICKUP
<b>Year of publication</b>	2008
<b>Kerb weight</b>	1875kg
<b>VIN from which rating applies</b>	MPATFS86H7Hxxxxxxx and MPATFS86H8Hxxxxxxx

### Comments

#### Front impact

The passenger compartment became unstable in the frontal impact and the D-MAX did not achieve the minimum number of points in this test to get a three star rating, even though it scored enough points overall. Protection of the driver's head was rated as weak. The final star is struck through because dummy readings in the neck and chest indicated an unacceptably high risk of life-threatening injury. Structures in the dashboard presented a risk of injury to the knees and femurs of both the driver and passenger. Protection of the driver's lower legs was jeopardised by excessive upward movement of the brake pedal. Protection of the driver's feet was poor, owing to a ruptured footwell and pedal movement.

#### Side impact

A head-protecting airbag is not available on the D-MAX so a pole test was not performed.

#### Child occupant

Forward movement of the 3 year old's head in the frontal impact exceeded recommended limits and exposed the infant to potential contact with parts of the car's interior. In the side impact, the 3 year old's head was contained by the restraint, protecting it from hazardous contact. Although the car tested was not fitted with a passenger airbag, it is available as an option and cannot be disabled. The label warning against using a rearward-facing child restraint in vehicles equipped with a passenger airbag was available only in English. The presence of ISOFIX anchorages in the rear outboard seats was not clearly marked.

#### Pedestrian

The D-MAX scored no points for the protection offered to pedestrians' legs or to a child's head. The bonnet surface was rated as predominantly weak for the protection it offered the head of a struck adult.