



2025





Adult Occupant









Safety Assist

85%

Vulnerable Road Users







65%

SPECIFICATION

| Tested Model | Kia PV5 Passenger 120kW, LHD |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Body Type | - 5 door Van |
| Year Of Publication | 2025 |
| Kerb Weight | 2060kg |
| VIN From Which Rating Applies | - all Kia PV5 Passenger |
| Class | Business and Family Van |



SAFETY EQUIPMENT

| | Driver | Passenger | Rear |
|--------------------------|--------|-----------|------|
| FRONTAL CRASH PROTECTION | | | |
| Frontal airbag | • | • | _ |
| Belt pretensioner | • | • | • |
| Belt loadlimiter | • | • | • |
| Knee airbag | × | × | _ |
| LATERAL CRASH PROTECTION | | | |
| Side head airbag | • | • | |
| Side chest airbag | • | • | × |
| Side pelvis airbag | • | • | × |
| Centre Airbag | • | × | _ |

| | Driver | Passenger | Rear |
|--------------------------|--------|-----------|------|
| CHILD PROTECTION | | | |
| Isofix/i-Size | _ | × | • |
| Integrated CRS | _ | × | × |
| Airbag cut-off switch | _ | • | _ |
| Child presence detection | _ | × | × |
| SAFETY ASSIST | | | |
| Seat Belt Reminder | • | • | • |



SAFETY EQUIPMENT (NEXT)

| OTHER SYSTEMS | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Active Bonnet | × |
| AEB Vulnerable Road Users | • |
| AEB Pedestrian - Reverse | 0 |
| Cyclist Dooring Prevention | 0 |
| AEB Motorcyclist | |
| AEB Car-to-Car | • |
| Speed Assistance | • |
| Lane Assist System | |
| Fatigue / Distraction Detection | • |

Note: Other equipment may be available on the vehicle but was not considered in the test year.

| Fitted to the vehicle as standard | Fitted to the vehicle as part of the safety pack |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| I litted to the vehicle as standard | Tricted to the venicle as part of the safety pack |


O Not fitted to the test vehicle but available as option or as part of the safety pack


★ Not available — Not applicable





Total 33.6 Pts / 83%









ADULT OCCUPANT

Total 33.6 Pts / 83%

| GOOD ADEQUATE | MARGINAL WEAK POOR |
|------------------------|--------------------------|
| Rescue and Extrication | 3.0 / 4 Pts |
| Rescue Sheet | Available, ISO compliant |
| Advanced eCall | Available |
| Multi Collision Brake | Available |
| Submergence Check | Compliant |

Comments

The passenger compartment of the Kia PV5 Passenger remained stable in the frontal offset test. Dummy readings indicated good protection of the knees and femurs of both the driver and the front seat passenger. Kia showed that a similar level of protection would be provided to occupants of different sizes and to those sitting in different positions. Analysis of the deceleration of the impact trolley during the test, and analysis of the deformable barrier after the test, revealed that the Kia PV5 Passenger would be a moderately benign impact partner in a frontal collision. In the full-width rigid barrier test, protection of the rear passenger's chest was rated as weak, based on dummy readings of compression, and that of the driver was marginal. In both the side barrier test and the more severe side pole impact, good protection was provided to all critical body areas and the Kia PV5 Passenger scored maximum points in this part of the assessment. Control of excursion (the extent to which a body is thrown to the other side of the vehicle when it is hit from the far side) was found to be marginal. The Kia PV5 Passenger has a countermeasure to mitigate against occupant-to-occupant injuries in such impacts. The airbag performed well in Euro NCAP's tests with dummy readings indicating good protection for both the driver and passenger. Tests on the front seats and head restraints demonstrated good protection against whiplash injuries in the event of a rear-end collision. However, a geometric analysis of the rear seats indicated marginal whiplash protection. The car has an advanced eCall system which alerts the emergency services in the event of a crash, and a system to prevent secondary impacts after the car has been in a collision. Kia demonstrated that the doors and windows would be openable to allow occupants to escape in the event of vehicle submergence.



Total 41.8 Pts / 85%



Crash Test Performance based on 6 & 10 year old children

23.8 / 24 Pts





Restraint for 6 year old child: Cybex Solution Ti-Fix Restraint for 10 year old child: Osann Booster Boost R129

6.0 / 13 Pts Safety Features

| | Front Passenger | 2nd row outboard | 2nd row center |
|--------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| Isofix | × | • | × |
| i-Size | × | • | × |
| Integrated CRS | × | × | × |
| Top tether | × | • | × |
| Child Presence Detection | × | × | × |

Fitted to test car as standard

O Not on test car but available as option

X Not available

CRS Installation Check 12.0 / 12 Pts

| 🐚 i-Size | Seat Position | | | | |
|----------|---------------|--------------------------|------|--------|-------|
| | Front 2nd row | | | | |
| | | ⊗ *⁄ ₂ | Left | center | Right |
| ٤ | _ | _ | • | _ | • |

Easy

Difficult

Safety critical

★ Not allowed



Airbag ON Rearward facing restraint installation not allowed

Airbag OFF



CHILD OCCUPANT

Total 41.8 Pts / 85%

| (Isofix | Seat Position | | | | |
|-----------------|---------------|----------|---------|--------|-------|
| | Fro | ont | 2nd row | | |
| | | ⊗ | Left | center | Right |
| E | _ | _ | • | _ | • |
| \\\\ | _ | _ | • | _ | • |
| K | _ | _ | • | _ | • |
| Ľ | _ | _ | • | _ | • |
| | _ | _ | • | _ | • |
| | _ | _ | • | _ | • |

● Easy ● Difficult ● Safety critical ★ Not allowed

Airbag ON Rearward facing restraint installation not allowed

Airbag OFF

| Seatbelt Attached | Seat Position | | | | | |
|-------------------|---------------|--------------|---------|--------|-------|--|
| | Fre | ont | 2nd row | | | |
| | | ⊗ .∕2 | Left | center | Right | |
| | × | • | • | • | • | |
| | • | • | • | • | • | |
| E | • | • | • | • | • | |
| | • | • | • | • | • | |
| | • | • | • | • | • | |
| | × | • | • | • | • | |

■ Easy
Difficult
Safety critical
X Not allowed

Airbag ON Rearward facing restraint installation not allowed

🎇 Airbag OFF





Total 41.8 Pts / 85%

Comments

In both the frontal offset and the side barrier tests, protection was good for all critical body areas for the 6 and 10 year dummies, and the Kia PV5 Passenger scored maximum points in this part of the assessment. The front passenger airbag can be disabled to allow a rearward-facing child restraint to be used in that seating position. Clear information is provided to the driver regarding the status of the airbag and the system was rewarded. The Kia PV5 Passenger is not equipped with 'child presence detection', a system which can alert others if children have been left in the car. All of the child restraint types for which the Kia PV5 Passenger is designed could be properly installed and accommodated in the car.



🚶 VULNERABLE ROAD USERS

Total 40.8 Pts / 64%

| GOOD | ADEQUATE | MARGINAL | WEAK | POOR | |
|------|----------|----------|------|------|--|

VRU Impact Protection

22.5 / 36 Pts



| Pedestrian & Cyclist Head | 9.6 Pts |
|---------------------------|---------|
| Pelvis | 0.8 Pts |
| Femur | 4.5 Pts |
| Knee & Tibia | 7.6 Pts |

VRU Impact Mitigation 18.4 / 27 Pts

| System Name | Forward Collision-Avoidance Assist (FCA) |
|------------------|---|
| Туре | Auto-Brake with Forward Collision Warning |
| Operational From | 5 km/h |
| PERFORMANCE | |

AEB Pedestrian 5.4 / 9 Pts

| Scenario | Day time | Night time |
|---|----------|------------|
| Car reversing into adult or child | | _ |
| Adult crossing a road into which a car is turning | | _ |
| Adult crossing the road | | |
| Child running from behind parked vehicles | | |
| Adult along the roadside | | |

Currently not tested

AEB Cyclist 7.3 / 8 Pts

| Scenario Scenario | Day time |
|--|----------|
| Approaching cyclist crossing from behind parked vehicles | |
| Turning across path of an oncoming cyclist | |
| Approaching a crossing cyclist | |
| Approaching a cyclist along the roadside | |



🕺 VULNERABLE ROAD USERS

Total 40.8 Pts / 64%

| GOOD | ADEQUATE | MARGINAL | WEAK | POOR | |
|------|----------|----------|------|------|--|
| | | | | | |

Cyclist Dooring Prevention

0.0 / 1 Pts

| Scenario | |
|---------------------------|--|
| Dooring a passing cyclist | |

AEB Motorcyclist

3.7 / 6 Pts

| Scenario | Autobrake function only | Driver reacts to warning |
|--|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Approaching a stationary motorcyclist | | |
| Approaching a braking motorcyclist | | |
| Turn across the path of an oncoming motorcyclist | | _ |

Currently not tested

Lane Support Motorcyclist

2.0 / 3 Pts

| Scenario | Day time |
|---|----------|
| Changing lane across the path of an oncoming motorcyclist | |
| Changing lane across the path of an overtaking motorcyclist | |

Comments

Protection of the head of a struck pedestrian or cyclist was largely good or adequate, with poor results recorded on the stiff windscreen pillars and at the base and top of the screen. Protection of the pelvis was almost completely poor, scoring only a fraction of a point. Protection of the femur was good at all test locations while that of the knee and tibia was mixed. The autonomous emergency braking system of the Kia PV5 Passenger responds to vulnerable road users such as pedestrians and cyclists, as well as to other vehicles. In tests of its response to pedestrians, the system performed adequately. Protection for those to the rear of the car is available as an option and is not included in this assessment. The system performed well in tests of its reaction to cyclists. Protection against 'dooring', where a door is opened into the path of a cyclist approaching from behind, is available as an option not included in this assessment. The system's response to motorcyclists was adequate.

Distraction

Long and Short Distraction



Total 11.8 Pts / 65%

| 2.5 / 3 Pts |
|-------------|
| ĺ |

| System Name | Lane Keeping Assist |
|-------------------------|---------------------|
| Туре | LKA and ELK |
| Operational From | 55 km/h |
| PERFORMANCE | |
| Emergency Lane Keeping | GOOD |
| Lane Keep Assist | GOOD |
| Human Machine Interface | GOOD |

AEB Car-to-Car 5.0 / 9 Pts

| System Name | Forward Collision-Avoidance Assist (FCA) |
|------------------|--|
| Туре | Autonomous emergency braking and forward collision warning |
| Operational From | 5 km/h |
| Sensor Used | camera and radar |

| Scenario | Autobrake function only | Driver reacts to warning |
|--|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Approaching a car crossing a junction | | |
| Approaching a car head-on | | _ |
| Turning across the path of an oncoming car | | _ |
| Approaching a stationary car | | |
| Approaching a slower moving car | | _ |
| Approaching a braking car | | _ |

Currently not tested





Total 11.8 Pts / 65%

Comments

Overall, the performance of the autonomous emergency braking (AEB) system was adequate in tests of its reaction to other vehicles. A seatbelt reminder system is fitted as standard to the front and rear seats. The car has a direct driver status monitoring system as standard, detecting driver fatigue and some types of distraction. The lane support system gently corrects the vehicle's path if it is drifting out of lane and also intervenes in some more critical situations. The speed assistance system identifies the local speed limit. The driver can choose to allow the limiter to be set automatically by the system.



RATING VALIDITY

Variants of Model Range

| Body Type | Engine | Model Name | Drivetrain | Rating Applies | |
|------------|-----------------|---|------------|----------------|----------|
| | | | | LHD | RHD |
| 5 door MPV | 120 kW Electric | Single Motor with Long Range Battery (71.2 kWh) | 4 x 2 | \checkmark | ✓ |
| 5 door MPV | 120 kW Electric | Single Motor with Standard Range Battery (51.5 kWh) * | 4 x 2 | ✓ | ✓ |

Annual Reviews and Facelifts

| Date | Event | Outcome | |
|---------------|------------------|--------------|---|
| December 2025 | Rating Published | 2025 ★ ★ ★ ☆ | ✓ |

^{*} Tested variant