Toyota Yaris

Standard Safety Equipment

2025



Adult Occupant 72%

Child Occupant 84%

Vulnerable Road Users 83%

Safety Assist 72%

SPECIFICATION

Tested Model	Toyota Yaris 1.5 hybrid, LHD
Body Type	- 5 door hatchback
Year Of Publication	2025
Kerb Weight	1240kg
VIN From Which Rating Applies	- all Toyota Yaris
Class	City and Supermini

Version 041225

SAFETY EQUIPMENT

	Driver	Passenger	Rear
FRONTAL CRASH PROTECTION			
Frontal airbag	●	●	–
Belt pretensioner	●	●	●
Belt loadlimiter	●	●	●
Knee airbag	✖	✖	–
LATERAL CRASH PROTECTION			
Side head airbag	●	●	●
Side chest airbag	●	●	✖
Side pelvis airbag	●	●	✖
Centre Airbag	●	●	–

	Driver	Passenger	Rear
CHILD PROTECTION			
Isofix/i-Size	–	✖	●
Integrated CRS	–	✖	✖
Airbag cut-off switch	–	●	–
Child presence detection	–	✖	✖
SAFETY ASSIST			
Seat Belt Reminder	●	●	●

SAFETY EQUIPMENT (NEXT)

OTHER SYSTEMS	
Active Bonnet	✖
AEB Vulnerable Road Users	●
AEB Pedestrian - Reverse	✖
Cyclist Dooring Prevention	○
AEB Motorcyclist	●
AEB Car-to-Car	●
Speed Assistance	●
Lane Assist System	●
Fatigue / Distraction Detection	●

Note: Other equipment may be available on the vehicle but was not considered in the test year.

● Fitted to the vehicle as standard ◯ Fitted to the vehicle as part of the safety pack

○ Not fitted to the test vehicle but available as option or as part of the safety pack ✖ Not available — Not applicable

ADULT OCCUPANT

Total 29.1 Pts / 72%

GOOD | ADEQUATE | MARGINAL | WEAK | POOR

Frontal Impact — 8.5 / 16 Pts

Mobile Progressive Deformable Barrier

Full Width Rigid Barrier

Lateral Impact — 15.0 / 16 Pts

Side Mobile Barrier

Side Pole

Far-Side Excursion

Occupant Interaction

Rear Impact — 4.0 / 4 Pts

Rear Seat

Front Seat

ADULT OCCUPANT

Total 29.1 Pts / 72%

GOOD ADEQUATE MARGINAL WEAK POOR

Rescue and Extrication	1.7 / 4 Pts
Rescue Sheet	Available, ISO compliant
Advanced eCall	Available
Multi Collision Brake	Available
Submergence Check	Compliant

Comments

The passenger compartment of the Toyota Yaris remained stable in the frontal offset test. There was insufficient pressure in the airbag to prevent the driver's head from 'bottoming out' onto the steering wheel. Dummy readings were not excessive but a penalty was applied, and protection rated as adequate. Protection of the driver's upper legs was rated as weak, based on dummy readings and additional penalties for potentially harmful structures in the dashboard. Dummy injury criteria also indicated poor protection of the driver's lower leg. Analysis of the deceleration of the impact trolley during the test, and analysis of the deformable barrier after the test, revealed that the Toyota Yaris would be a benign impact partner in a frontal collision. In the full width rigid barrier test, protection of the chest of the driver was rated as marginal, based on dummy readings of compression, but was otherwise at least adequate. In both the side barrier test and the more severe side pole impact, good protection was provided to all critical body areas and the Toyota Yaris scored maximum points in this part of the assessment. Control of excursion (the extent to which a body is thrown to the other side of the vehicle when it is hit from the far side) was found to be marginal The Toyota Yaris has a countermeasure to mitigate against occupant-to-occupant injuries in such impacts. The airbag performed well in Euro NCAP's tests with dummy readings indicating good protection for both the driver and passenger. Tests on the front seats and head restraints demonstrated good protection against whiplash injuries in the event of a rear-end collision. A geometric analysis of the rear seats also indicated good whiplash protection. The car has an advanced eCall system which alerts the emergency services in the event of a crash, and a system to prevent secondary impacts after the car has been in a collision. Toyota demonstrated that the doors and windows would be openable to allow occupants to escape in the event of vehicle submergence.

CHILD OCCUPANT

Total 41.2 Pts / 84%

GOOD ADEQUATE MARGINAL WEAK POOR

Crash Test Performance based on 6 & 10 year old children 23.2 / 24 Pts

Frontal Impact	15.5 Pts

Lateral Impact	7.7 Pts

Restraint for 6 year old child: *Britax Römer Kidfix i-Size*
Restraint for 10 year old child: *Graco Booster R129*

Safety Features 6.0 / 13 Pts

	Front Passenger	2nd row outboard	2nd row center
Isofix	✗	●	✗
i-Size	✗	●	✗
Integrated CRS	✗	✗	✗
Top tether	✗	●	✗
Child Presence Detection	✗	✗	✗

● Fitted to test car as standard ○ Not on test car but available as option ✗ Not available

CRS Installation Check 12.0 / 12 Pts

i-Size	Seat Position				
	Front		2nd row		
			Left	center	Right
	–	–	●	–	●

● Easy ● Difficult ● Safety critical ✗ Not allowed

Airbag ON Rearward facing restraint installation not allowed Airbag OFF

CHILD OCCUPANT

Total 41.2 Pts / 84%

Isofix	Seat Position				
	Front		2nd row		
	Airbag ON	Airbag OFF	Left	center	Right
	–	–	●	–	●
	–	–	●	–	●
	–	–	●	–	●
	–	–	●	–	●
	–	–	●	–	●
	–	–	●	–	●

● Easy ● Difficult ● Safety critical ✖ Not allowed

Airbag ON Rearward facing restraint installation not allowed Airbag OFF

Seatbelt Attached	Seat Position				
	Front		2nd row		
	Airbag ON	Airbag OFF	Left	center	Right
	✖	●	●	●	●
	●	●	●	●	●
	●	●	●	●	●
	●	●	●	●	●
	●	●	●	✖	●
	✖	●	●	✖	●

● Easy ● Difficult ● Safety critical ✖ Not allowed

Airbag ON Rearward facing restraint installation not allowed Airbag OFF

CHILD OCCUPANT

Total 41.2 Pts / 84%

Comments

In both the frontal offset and the side barrier tests protection of all critical body areas was good or adequate for both the 6 and 10 year dummies. The front passenger airbag can be disabled to allow a rearward-facing child restraint to be used in that seating position. Clear information is provided to the driver regarding the status of the airbag and the system was rewarded. The Toyota Yaris is not equipped with 'child presence detection', a system which can alert others if children have been left in the car. All of the child restraint types for which the Toyota Yaris is designed could be properly installed and accommodated in the car.

VULNERABLE ROAD USERS

Total 52.8 Pts / 83%

GOOD ADEQUATE MARGINAL WEAK POOR

VRU Impact Protection

30.5 / 36 Pts

Pedestrian & Cyclist Head	12.5 Pts
Pelvis	4.5 Pts
Femur	4.5 Pts
Knee & Tibia	9.0 Pts

VRU Impact Mitigation

22.3 / 27 Pts

System Name	Pre-Collision System with Pedestrian Detection as part of Toyota Safety Sense
Type	Auto-Brake with Forward Collision Warning
Operational From	5 km/h

PERFORMANCE |

AEB Pedestrian

6.5 / 9 Pts

Scenario	Day time	Night time
Car reversing into adult or child		–
Adult crossing a road into which a car is turning		–
Adult crossing the road		
Child running from behind parked vehicles		
Adult along the roadside		

– Currently not tested

AEB Cyclist

7.8 / 8 Pts

Scenario	Day time
Approaching cyclist crossing from behind parked vehicles	
Turning across path of an oncoming cyclist	
Approaching a crossing cyclist	
Approaching a cyclist along the roadside	

VULNERABLE ROAD USERS

Total 52.8 Pts / 83%

GOOD ADEQUATE MARGINAL WEAK POOR

Cyclist Dooring Prevention

0.0 / 1 Pts

Scenario	
Dooring a passing cyclist	

AEB Motorcyclist

6.0 / 6 Pts

Scenario	Autobrake function only	Driver reacts to warning
Approaching a stationary motorcyclist		
Approaching a braking motorcyclist		
Turn across the path of an oncoming motorcyclist		–

– Currently not tested

Lane Support Motorcyclist

2.0 / 3 Pts

Scenario	Day time
Changing lane across the path of an oncoming motorcyclist	
Changing lane across the path of an overtaking motorcyclist	

Comments

Protection of the head of a struck pedestrian or cyclist was largely good or adequate, with poor results recorded on the stiff windscreen pillars. Protection of the pelvis was good at all test locations, as was that of the femur and that of the knee and tibia, and the Yaris scored full points in this part of the assessment. The autonomous emergency braking system of the Toyota Yaris responds to vulnerable road users such as pedestrians and cyclists, as well as to other vehicles. In tests of its response to pedestrians, the system performed adequately, but offers no protection to those to the rear of the car. The system performed well in tests of its reaction to cyclists. Protection against 'dooring', where a door is opened into the path of a cyclist approaching from behind, is available as an option and was not included in this assessment. The system's response to motorcyclists was good.

SAFETY ASSIST

Total 13.0 Pts / 72%

GOOD | ADEQUATE | MARGINAL | WEAK | POOR

Speed Assistance

1.6 / 3 Pts

System Name	Full Range Adaptive Cruise Control and Intelligent Adaptive Cruise Control
Speed Limit Information Function	, subsigns supported
Speed Limitation Function	Intelligent Speed Limiter not default ON (accurate to 5km/h)

Occupant Status Monitoring

1.0 / 3 Pts

Seatbelt Reminder

1.0 / 1 Pts

Applies To	Front and rear seats		
Warning	Driver Seat	Front Passenger(s)	Rear Passenger(s)
Visual	●	●	●
Audible	●	●	●
Occupant Detection	–	●	●

● Pass ● Fail – Not available

Driver Monitoring

0.0 / 2 Pts

System Name	Driver Monitoring Camera
Type	Indirect monitoring
Operational From	10 km/h

SAFETY ASSIST

Total 13.0 Pts / 72%

Lane Support

2.5 / 3 Pts

System Name	Lane Departure Alert with Steering Control as part of Toyota Safety Sense
Type	LKA and ELK
Operational From	50 km/h
PERFORMANCE	
Emergency Lane Keeping	GOOD
Lane Keep Assist	GOOD
Human Machine Interface	GOOD

AEB Car-to-Car

8.0 / 9 Pts

System Name	Pre-Collision System as part of Toyota Safety Sense
Type	Autonomous emergency braking and forward collision warning
Operational From	5 km/h
Sensor Used	camera and radar

Scenario	Autobrake function only	Driver reacts to warning
Approaching a car crossing a junction		
Approaching a car head-on		–
Turning across the path of an oncoming car		–
Approaching a stationary car		
Approaching a slower moving car		–
Approaching a braking car		–

– Currently not tested

SAFETY ASSIST

Total 13.0 Pts / 72%

Comments

Overall, the performance of the autonomous emergency braking (AEB) system was good in tests of its reaction to other vehicles. A seatbelt reminder system is fitted as standard to the front and rear seats. The car has an indirect driver status monitoring system as standard, detecting driver fatigue but not distraction. However, the system did not meet Euro NCAP's requirements and scored no points. A direct monitoring system is available as an option. The lane support system gently corrects the vehicle's path if it is drifting out of lane and also intervenes in some more critical situations. The speed assistance system identifies the local speed limit. The driver can choose to allow the limiter to be set automatically by the system.

RATING VALIDITY

Variants of Model Range

Body Type	Engine	Model Name/Code	Drivetrain	Rating Applies	
				LHD	RHD
5 door hatchback	1.5l Hybrid Petrol	Hybrid 115 *	4 x 2	✓	✓
5 door hatchback	1.5l Hybrid Petrol	Hybrid 130	4 x 2	✓	✓

* Tested variant

Annual Reviews and Facelifts

Date	Event	Outcome	
December 2025	Rating Published	2025 ★★★★☆	✓

Version 041225