



2025





Adult Occupant







Child Occupant

87%

Vulnerable Road Users







Safety Assist

77%

SPECIFICATION

Tested Model	VW T-Roc 1.5 TSI 'Life', LHD
Body Type	- 5 door SUV
Year Of Publication	2025
Kerb Weight	1420kg
VIN From Which Rating Applies	- all T-Rocs
Class	Small SUV



SAFETY EQUIPMENT

	Driver	Passenger	Rear
FRONTAL CRASH PROTECTION			
Frontal airbag	•	•	_
Belt pretensioner	•	•	•
Belt loadlimiter	•	•	•
Knee airbag	×	×	_
LATERAL CRASH PROTECTION			
Side head airbag	•		•
Side chest airbag	•	•	×
Side pelvis airbag	×	×	×
Centre Airbag	•	×	_

	Driver	Passenger	Rear
CHILD PROTECTION			
Isofix/i-Size	_	•	•
Integrated CRS	_	×	×
Airbag cut-off switch	_	•	_
Child presence detection	_	×	•
SAFETY ASSIST			
Seat Belt Reminder	•	•	•



SAFETY EQUIPMENT (NEXT)

OTHER SYSTEMS	
Active Bonnet	×
AEB Vulnerable Road Users	•
AEB Pedestrian - Reverse	
Cyclist Dooring Prevention	
AEB Motorcyclist	
AEB Car-to-Car	
Speed Assistance	
Lane Assist System	
Fatigue / Distraction Detection	

Note: Other equipment may be available on the vehicle but was not considered in the test year.


Fitted to the vehicle as standard	Fitted to the vehicle as part of the safety pack
I litted to the vehicle as standard	Tricted to the venicle as part of the safety pack


O Not fitted to the test vehicle but available as option or as part of the safety pack X Not available — Not applicable






Total 36.7 Pts / 91%









ADULT OCCUPANT

Total 36.7 Pts / 91%

GOOD	ADEQUATE	MARGINAL	WEAK	POOR	
Rescue and Extrication					4.0 / 4 Pts
	Rescue Sheet	Available, ISO compliant			POF
	Advanced eCall	Available			
	Multi Collision Brake	Available			
	Submergence Check	Compliant			

Comments

The passenger compartment of the VW T-Roc remained stable in the frontal offset test. Dummy readings indicated good protection of the knees and femurs of both the driver and the front seat passenger. VW showed that a similar level of protection would be provided to occupants of different sizes and to those sitting in different positions. Protection was good for all critical body regions of both front seat occupants other than the driver's left lower leg, protection of which was adequate. Analysis of the deceleration of the impact trolley during the test, and analysis of the deformable barrier after the test, revealed that the VW T-Roc would be a somewhat aggressive impact partner in a frontal collision. In the full-width rigid barrier test, protection of the chest of the rear passenger was rated as marginal, based on dummy readings of compression, but was good or adequate for all other body regions. In both the side barrier test and the more severe side pole impact, good protection was provided to all critical body areas and the VW T-Roc scored maximum points in this part of the assessment. Control of excursion (the extent to which a body is thrown to the other side of the vehicle when it is hit from the far side) was found to be adequate The VW T-Roc has a countermeasure to mitigate against occupant-to-occupant injuries in such impacts. The airbag performed well in Euro NCAP's tests with dummy readings indicating good protection for both the driver and passenger. Tests on the front seats and head restraints demonstrated good protection against whiplash injuries in the event of a rear-end collision. A geometric analysis of the rear seats also indicated good whiplash protection. The car has an advanced eCall system which alerts the emergency services in the event of a crash, and a system to prevent secondary impacts after the car has been in a collision. VW demonstrated that the doors and windows would be openable to allow occupants to escape in the event of vehicle submergence.




Total 43.0 Pts / 87%



Crash Test Performance based on 6 & 10 year old children

24.0 / 24 Pts





Restraint for 6 year old child: Britax Römer Kidfix iSize (OEM) Restraint for 10 year old child: Britax Römer Kidfix iSize Booster (OEM)

7.0 / 13 Pts Safety Features

	Front Passenger	2nd row outboard	2nd row center
Isofix	•	•	×
i-Size	•	•	×
Integrated CRS	×	×	×
Top tether	•	•	×
Child Presence Detection	×	•	•

Fitted to test car as standard

O Not on test car but available as option

X Not available

CRS Installation Check 12.0 / 12 Pts

🐚 i-Size	Seat Position					
	Fro	ont		2nd row		
		⊗ *⁄ ₂	Left	center	Right	
٤	•	•	•	_	•	

Easy

Difficult

Safety critical

★ Not allowed



Airbag ON Rearward facing restraint installation not allowed

🎇 Airbag OFF



CHILD OCCUPANT

Total 43.0 Pts / 87%

(Isofix	Seat Position				
	Fro	ont		2nd row	
		⊗ *⁄ ₂	Left	center	Right
	•	×	•	_	•
\\\\	×	•	•	_	•
K	•	×	•	_	•
Ŀ	•	×	•	_	•
	•	×	•	_	•
	×	•	•	_	•

Easy

Difficult

Safety critical

× Not allowed

Airbag ON Rearward facing restraint installation not allowed

⊗∴ Airbag OFF

Seatbelt Attached	Seat Position				
	Fro	ont		2nd row	
		⊗• <u>*</u> 2	Left	center	Right
	×	•	•	•	•
	•	×	•	•	•
E	•	×	•	•	•
E	•	×	•	•	•
	•	×	•	×	•
	×	•	•	×	•

Easy

Difficult

Safety critical

× Not allowed

Airbag ON Rearward facing restraint installation not allowed

🎇 Airbag OFF





Total 43.0 Pts / 87%

Comments

In both the frontal offset and the side barrier tests, protection was good for all critical body areas for the 6 and 10 year dummies, and the VW T-Roc scored maximum points in this part of the assessment. The front passenger airbag can be disabled to allow a rearward-facing child restraint to be used in that seating position. Clear information is provided to the driver regarding the status of the airbag and the system was rewarded. The VWT-Roc is equipped with an indirect 'child presence detection' system, which issues a warning when it recognises that a child or infant may have been left in the car. Indirect systems are no longer rewarded by Euro NCAP. All of the child restraint types for which the VW T-Roc is designed could be properly installed and accommodated in the car.



🚶 VULNERABLE ROAD USERS

Total 55.3 Pts / 87%

GOOD	ADEQUATE	MARGINAL	WEAK	POOR	

VRU Impact Protection

30.0 / 36 Pts



Pedestrian & Cyclist Head	12.0 Pts
Pelvis	4.5 Pts
Femur	4.5 Pts
Knee & Tibia	9.0 Pts

VRU Impact Mitigation

25.3 / 27 Pts

System Name	Front Assist
Туре	Auto-Brake with Forward Collision Warning
Operational From	4 km/h
PERFORMANCE PE	

AEB Pedestrian

8.4 / 9 Pts

Scenario	Day time	Night time
Car reversing into adult or child		_
Adult crossing a road into which a car is turning		_
Adult crossing the road		
Child running from behind parked vehicles		
Adult along the roadside		

Currently not tested

AEB Cyclist

7.6 / 8 Pts

Scenario	Day time
Approaching cyclist crossing from behind parked vehicles	
Turning across path of an oncoming cyclist	
Approaching a crossing cyclist	
Approaching a cyclist along the roadside	



🚶 VULNERABLE ROAD USERS

Total 55.3 Pts / 87%

GOOD	ADEQUATE	MARGINAL	WEAK	POOR
Cyclist Dooring Pre	vention			0.8 / 1 Pts

Scenario	
Dooring a passing cyclist	warning, all side doors"

AEB Motorcyclist 6.0 / 6 Pts

Scenario	Autobrake function only	Driver reacts to warning
Approaching a stationary motorcyclist		
Approaching a braking motorcyclist		
Turn across the path of an oncoming motorcyclist		_

Currently not tested

Lane Support Motorcyclist

2.5 / 3 Pts

Scenario	Day time
Changing lane across the path of an oncoming motorcyclist	
Changing lane across the path of an overtaking motorcyclist	

Comments

Protection of the head of a struck pedestrian or cyclist was largely good or adequate, with poor results recorded on the stiff windscreen pillars and at the base and top of the screen. Protection of the pelvis was good at all test locations. So too was the protection offered to the femur and to the knee and tibia, and the T-Roc scored full points in these parts of the assessment. The autonomous emergency braking system of the VW T-Roc responds to vulnerable road users such as pedestrians and cyclists, as well as to other vehicles. In tests of its response to pedestrians the system performed well, including good protection of those to the rear of the car. The system performed well in tests of its reaction to cyclists including 'dooring', where a door is opened into the path of a cyclist approaching from behind. The system's response to motorcyclists was good.

Type

Fatigue

Operational From

Indirect monitoring

65 km/h

Drowsiness



Total 14.0 Pts / 77%

System Name	Lane Assist
Туре	LKA and ELK
Operational From	65 km/h
PERFORMANCE	
Emergency Lane Keeping	GOOD
Lane Keep Assist	GOOD
Human Machine Interface	GOOD

AEB Car-to-Car 8.3 / 9 Pts

System Name	Front Assist
Туре	Autonomous emergency braking and forward collision warning
Operational From	4 km/h
Sensor Used	camera and radar

Scenario	Autobrake function only	Driver reacts to warning
Approaching a car crossing a junction		
Approaching a car head-on		_
Turning across the path of an oncoming car		_
Approaching a stationary car		
Approaching a slower moving car		_
Approaching a braking car		_

Currently not tested





Total 14.0 Pts / 77%

Comments

Overall, the performance of the autonomous emergency braking (AEB) system was good in tests of its reaction to other vehicles. A seatbelt reminder system is fitted as standard to the front and rear seats. The car has an indirect driver status monitoring system as standard, detecting driver fatigue but not distraction. The lane support system gently corrects the vehicle's path if it is drifting out of lane and also intervenes in some more critical situations. The speed assistance system identifies the local speed limit. The driver can choose to allow the limiter to be set automatically by the system.



RATING VALIDITY

Variants of Model Range

Body Type	Engine	Model Name	Drivetrain	Rating Applies	
				LHD	RHD
5 door SUV	1.5l petrol TSI mild hybrid	1.5 eTSI *	4 x 2	✓	✓
5 door SUV	2.0l petrol TSI mild hybrid 4motion	2.0 eTSI	4 x 4	✓	✓
5 door SUV	1,5l TSI petrol hybrid	1.5 eHybrid	4 x 2	✓	✓

Annual Reviews and Facelifts

Date	Event	Outcome	
December 2025	Rating Published	2025 ★ ★ ★ ★	✓

^{*} Tested variant