



Jeep Cherokee

RATING SCORE

ADULT OCCUPANT

24 Front: 9 Side: 15





3

Adult occupant protection



Frontal impact driver



Frontal impact passenger



gei

Child restraints

18 month old Child	Britax Roemer Duo, forward facing
3 year old Child	Britax Roemer Duo, forward facing

Safety equipment

Front seatbelt pretensioners	
Front seatbelt load limiters	M
Driver frontal airbag	
Front passenger frontal airbag	M
Side body airbags	
Side head airbags	

Pedestrian protection

No image car front available

Car details

Hand of drive	LHD
Tested model	Jeep Cherokee 2.5 TD Limited
Body type	5 door Translation not found
Year of publication	2002
Kerb weight	1800
VIN from which rating applies	1J8G48K32W252324, sequence no 2418940

Comments

Driver knee airbag

The Cherokee is designed for the USA and the thinking behind it differs from vehicles intended primarily for Europe. This proved a factor in the knee impact zone where a bolster was fitted to protect an unbelted driver, as required by US law. In general, the Cherokee performed well and came close to achieving four stars. But the driver experienced fairly high loads on his body from the restraint system and these could have harmed him. The child restraints were effective, especially in cushioning heads during the side impact. Protection given to pedestrians proved to be poor, however.

Front impact

The vehicle's body protected well. The impact left the driver's door largely undistorted and the footwell remained in good shape, too, although the driver risked injury from the brake and clutch pedals, which were pushed backwards. A bolster fitted where knees might strike did not give full protection and the driver risked injuries severe enough to disable him. The centre rear belt was of a three- point type that gives greater protection than a lap belt will. The belt could not be used unless the seat back was latched in place: a good safety feature.

Side impact

The Dutch-market Cherokee tested had no side airbags but performed well. Generally, tall vehicles such as 4x4s can be expected to deliver above-average safety in this type of crash. The Cherokee was penalised, though, because loads were transferred in an unrealistic manner from the seat to the dummy's back, so reducing the measured loads on the chest.

TEST RESULTS



Child occupant

The same vehicle-specific ISOFIX restraints were chosen for both children, and both were attached using top tethers and ISOFIX. Protection in the side impact proved to be very good and both children's heads were contained. But in the frontal impact, the 3-year-old's head was unprotected and the younger child risked neck injuries. A rear-facing restraint may have improved matters.