# **TEST RESULTS**





## Adult occupant protection





RATING

Frontal impact driver

### Child restraints

18 month old Child	Britax Roemer Duo, forward facing
3 year old Child	Britax Roemer Duo, forward facing
Safety equipment	

Front seatbelt pretensioners	
Front seatbelt load limiters	
Driver frontal airbag	
Front passenger frontal airbag	
frontal airbag	

Frontal impact passenger

Suzuki Grand Vitara

ADULT OCCUPANT

会会会公公

PEDESTRIAN

57 57 57 57

SCORE

23

N/A

Front: 8

Side: 15





## **Pedestrian protection**

No image car front available

## Car details

Hand of drive	LHD
Tested model	Suzuki Grand Vitara 2.7ltr XL-7
Body type	off-roader
Year of publication	2002
Kerb weight	1640kg
VIN from which rating applies	JSAHTX92V00170012

#### Comments

For such a large vehicle, the Latest Grand Vitara performed only reasonably in the occupant protection tests. But its worst performance was for pedestrian safety, where it scored no points and no stars. Suzuki has told Euro NCAP that it will pay greater attention with future designs to this important aspect of vehicle safety. The car put in a 'disappointing' performance in the frontal impact, too, putting its driver and front passenger at risk of chest and leg injuries. The side impact test outcome was reasonable, and both children were safeguarded throughout, although the younger child ran a slight risk of neck injury in the frontal impact.

#### Front impact

The restraints and airbags protected the front occupants' heads and necks but forces fed through to the driver's chest were high. The driver's door buckled and the frame distorted and began to tear. There was also significant distortion of the footwell firewall; so the body was judged unstable. There were also hard points behind the fascia that put the driver and front passenger at severe risk. The centre rear belt was a lap-only type which, offers too little protection to occupants during a frontal impact.

### Side impact

The Grand Vitara provided only reasonable protection for such a high vehicle, and chest loads were high from contact with the seat side wing and the door pillar trim.

#### Child occupant

The restraints were forward facing and used the two ISOFIX mounts, but they came without top tethers. Euro NCAP could not obtain replacements, so it tested the seats as they were. The 18-month-old child suffered a high neck load that is common for children so young if placed facing forward; rear-facing is favoured. Otherwise the restraints performed well. Permanent labels on the stowed side of both sun visors warned against using a rear-facing restraint opposite an airbag. However, three different pictograms were used in various locations to indicate the hazard, which could be confusing.

#### Pedestrian

Protection was dire and scored no points. Suzuki said it will do more in future to protect pedestrians.